

White paper drafted under the European Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 for FFG QH1GF1J5H



Preamble

00. Table of Contents

01. Date of notification	11
02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	11
03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/	
04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation 2023/1114	
05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	411
06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation 2023/1114	
Summary	12
07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation 2023/1114	
08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset	12
09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability	
10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading	13
Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading	13
A.1 Name	13
A.2 Legal form	13
A.3 Registered address	13
A.4 Head office	13
A.5 Registration date	14



A.6 Legal entity identifier	14
A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	14
A.8 Contact telephone number	14
A.9 E-mail address	14
A.10 Response time (Days)	14
A.11 Parent company	14
A.12 Members of the management body	14
A.13 Business activity	14
A.14 Parent company business activity	15
A.15 Newly established	15
A.16 Financial condition for the past three years	15
A.17 Financial condition since registration	15
Part B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person	on seeking
admission to trading	15
B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading	15
B.2 Name	16
B.3 Legal form	16
B.4. Registered address	16
B.5 Head office	16
B.6 Registration date	16
B.7 Legal entity identifier	16
B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	16
B.9 Parent company	16
B.10 Members of the management body	16
B.11 Business activity	17



	B.12 Parent company business activity	17
	Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it drup the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing	
	rypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regula	
	EU) 2023/1114	
	C.1 Name	17
	C.2 Legal form	17
	C.3 Registered address	17
	C.4 Head office	17
	C.5 Registration date	17
	C.6 Legal entity identifier	17
	C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	17
	C.8 Parent company	18
	C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation	18
	C.10 Members of the Management body	18
	C.11 Operator business activity	18
	C.12 Parent company business activity	18
	C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6	5(1),
	second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	18
	C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), sec	
	subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	18
Ρ	art D – Information about the crypto-asset project	18
	D.1 Crypto-asset project name	18
	D.2 Crypto-assets name	18
	D 3 Abbreviation	12



D.4 Crypto-asset project description	19
D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of asset project	
D.6 Utility Token Classification	20
D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects	20
D.8 Plans for the token	20
D.9 Resource allocation	21
D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets	22
Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their adtrading	
E.1 Public offering or admission to trading	22
E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading	23
E.3 Fundraising target	23
E.4 Minimum subscription goals	23
E.5 Maximum subscription goals	23
E.6 Oversubscription acceptance	23
E.7 Oversubscription allocation	23
E.8 Issue price	23
E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price.	23
E.10 Subscription fee	24
E.11 Offer price determination method	24
E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets	24
E.13 Targeted holders	24
E.14 Holder restrictions	24
F 15 Reimhursement notice	2/



E.16 Refund mechanism	24
E.17 Refund timeline	25
E.18 Offer phases	25
E.19 Early purchase discount	25
E.20 Time-limited offer	25
E.21 Subscription period beginning	25
E.22 Subscription period end	25
E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets	25
E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase	25
E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement	26
E.26 Right of withdrawal	26
E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets	26
E.28 Transfer time schedule	26
E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements	26
E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name	26
E.31 CASP identifier	26
E.32 Placement form	26
E.33 Trading platforms name	26
E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)	27
E.35 Trading platforms access	27
E.36 Involved costs	27
E.37 Offer expenses	27
E.38 Conflicts of interest	27
E.39 Applicable law	27



	E.40 Competent court	27
Pā	art F – Information about the crypto-assets	28
	F.1 Crypto-asset type	28
	F.2 Crypto-asset functionality	28
	F.3 Planned application of functionalities	29
	A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data nece	ssary
	for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in A	
	109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 o	
	F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper	
	F.5 The type of submission	29
	F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics	29
	F.7 Commercial name or trading name	30
	F.8 Website of the issuer	30
	F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading	30
	F.10 Publication date	30
	F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer	30
	F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper	30
	F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or ea	ich of
	the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available	30
	F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available	30
	F.15 Voluntary data flag	30
	F.16 Personal data flag	30
	F.17 LEI eligibility	30
	F.18 Home Member State	31



	F.19 Host Member States	31
F	Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets	31
	G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations	31
	G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations	31
	G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations	32
	G.4 Future public offers	32
	G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets	32
	G.6 Utility token classification	33
	G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens	33
	G.8 Utility tokens redemption	33
	G.9 Non-trading request	33
	G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities	33
	G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions	33
	G.12 Supply adjustment protocols	34
	G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms	34
	G.14 Token value protection schemes	34
	G.15 Token value protection schemes description	34
	G.16 Compensation schemes	34
	G.17 Compensation schemes description	34
	G.18 Applicable law	34
	G.19 Competent court	34
F	Part H – information on the underlying technology	35
	H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)	35
	H 2 Protocols and technical standards	35



	H.3 Technology used	37
	H.4 Consensus mechanism	38
	H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees	38
	H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology	39
	H.7 DLT functionality description	39
	H.8 Audit	40
	H.9 Audit outcome	40
Ρ	art I – Information on risks	40
	I.1 Offer-related risks	40
	I.2 Issuer-related risks	42
	I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks	44
	I.4 Project implementation-related risks	48
	I.5 Technology-related risks	49
	I.6 Mitigation measures	50
Ρ	art J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact on	the
cl	imate and other environment-related adverse impacts	50
	J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	50
	S.1 Name	50
	S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	50
	S.3 Name of the cryptoasset	51
	S.4 Consensus Mechanism	51
	S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees	51
	S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	52
	S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	52
	S.8 Energy consumption	53



S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies	53
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	53
S.11 Energy intensity	53
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	53
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	53
S.14 GHG intensity	53
S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies	54
S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies	54



01. Date of notification

2025-10-24

02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper has not been approved by any competent authority in any Member State of the European Union. The person seeking admission to trading of the crypto-asset is solely responsible for the content of this crypto-asset white paper.

03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper complies with Title II of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council and, to the best of the knowledge of the management body, the information presented in the crypto-asset white paper is fair, clear and not misleading and the crypto-asset white paper makes no omission likely to affect its import.

04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this crypto-asset white paper may lose its value in part or in full, may not always be transferable and may not be liquid.

05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Since the token has multiple functions (hybrid token), these are already conceptually not utility tokens within the meaning of the MiCAR within the definition of Article 3, 1. (9), due to the necessity "exclusively" being intended to provide access to a good or a service supplied by its issuer only.



06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this white paper is not covered by the investor compensation schemes under Directive 97/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council or the deposit guarantee schemes under Directive 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Summary

07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Warning: This summary should be read as an introduction to the crypto-asset white paper. The prospective holder should base any decision to purchase this crypto-asset on the content of the crypto-asset white paper as a whole and not on the summary alone. The offer to the public of this crypto-asset does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase financial instruments and any such offer or solicitation can be made only by means of a prospectus or other offer documents pursuant to the applicable national law. This crypto-asset white paper does not constitute a prospectus as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council or any other offer document pursuant to union or national law.

08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset

The MNT tokens referred to in this white paper are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, and are issued on the Ethereum and Mantle blockchain (2025-10-20 and according to DTI FFG shown in F.14) with a total number of 6,219,316,768 token. The Ethereum 2023-06-20 first activity on can be viewed on https://etherscan.io/tx/0x8f7867724cfba4b9b1b19ca140711809b40dda9ae245caf7bcff 9473a5a7e45e) and on 2023-07-02 on Mantle (see https://mantlescan.xyz/tx/0x406af377ea93d459721d73c9c7409a6c5d7af45ac744b839 0478308500e12981).

09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or

services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions

on the transferability

Not applicable.

10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to

trading

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is seeking admission to trading on any Crypto Asset Service

Provider platform in the European Union in accordance to Article 5 of REGULATION (EU)

2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on

markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No

1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937. In accordance to Article

5(4), this crypto-asset white paper may be used by entities admitting the token to

trading after Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person responsible for drawing up such

white paper has given its consent to its use in writing to the repective Crypto Asset

Service Provider.

Part A - Information about the offeror or the person seeking

admission to trading

A.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

A.2 Legal form

2HBR

A.3 Registered address

DE, Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

A.4 Head office

Not applicable.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24



A.5 Registration date

2018-12-03

A.6 Legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is registered with the commercial register in the the city of Hamburg, Germany, under number HRB 154488.

A.8 Contact telephone number

+4915144974120

A.9 E-mail address

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com

A.10 Response time (Days)

030

A.11 Parent company

Not applicable.

A.12 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Address
Tim Zölitz	Chairman	Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

A.13 Business activity

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is as a software-as-a-service company with a focus on regulatory compliance. Due to the regulations laid out in article 5 (4) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No

1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

aims at providing central services for crypto-asset white papers.

A.14 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

A.15 Newly established

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH has been etablished since 2018 and is therefore not newly

established (i. e. older than three years).

A.16 Financial condition for the past three years

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH's profit after tax for the last three financial years are as

follows:

2024 (unaudited): negative 50.891,81 EUR

2023 (unaudited): negative 27.665,32 EUR

2022: 104.283,00 EUR.

As 2023 and 2024 were the years building Software for the MiCAR-Regulation which was

not yet in place, revenue streams from these investments are expeted to be generated

in 2025.

A.17 Financial condition since registration

This point would only be applicable if the company were newly established and the

financial conditions for the past three years had not been provided in the bulletpoint

before.

Part B - Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror

or person seeking admission to trading

B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading

Yes

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24



B.2 Name

The governance structure of the Mantle project is based on a decentralized autonomous organization (DAO), which exercises collective decision-making power over the protocol, its treasury, and future development. While a legal entity named Mantle Network Ltd. appears to exist, available information suggests that this entity performs administrative or operational support functions only. It does not exercise controlling influence over the crypto-asset or its governance mechanisms. Accordingly, the Mantle DAO should be regarded as the effective governing body of the project, rather than any single legal entity.

B.3 Legal form

Not applicable.

B.4. Registered address

Not applicable.

B.5 Head office

Not applicable.

B.6 Registration date

Not applicable.

B.7 Legal entity identifier

Not applicable.

B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Not applicable.

B.9 Parent company

Not applicable.

B.10 Members of the management body

Not applicable.



B.11 Business activity

Not applicable.

B.12 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

C.1 Name

Not applicable.

C.2 Legal form

Not applicable.

C.3 Registered address

Not applicable.

C.4 Head office

Not applicable.

C.5 Registration date

Not applicable.

C.6 Legal entity identifier

Not applicable.

C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Not applicable.



C.8 Parent company

Not applicable.

C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation

Not applicable.

C.10 Members of the Management body

Not applicable.

C.11 Operator business activity

Not applicable.

C.12 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

Part D - Information about the crypto-asset project

D.1 Crypto-asset project name

Long Name: "Mantle", Short Name: "MNT" according to the Digital Token Identifier Foundation (www.dtif.org, DTI see F.13, FFG DTI see F.14 as of 2025-10-20).

D.2 Crypto-assets name

See F.13.

D.3 Abbreviation

See F.13.



D.4 Crypto-asset project description

The Mantle project is a blockchain infrastructure initiative that operates as a modular Layer-2 network built on top of the Ethereum blockchain. Its primary objective is to provide an execution environment for decentralized applications while maintaining interoperability with Ethereum through the use of rollup technology. The project seeks to increase transaction throughput and reduce costs by separating the different layers of blockchain architecture - specifically execution, consensus, and data availability - into distinct components that can be upgraded or replaced independently.

The Mantle Network employs an optimistic rollup model in which transactions are aggregated off-chain and subsequently settled on Ethereum for finality. The network is designed to remain compatible with the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM), allowing existing smart contracts and developer tools to function without modification. A particular focus of the project lies in improving the cost-efficiency of data availability through integration with external data-availability providers.

Governance within the Mantle ecosystem is organized through token-based voting mechanisms that enable participants to propose and decide on protocol-related matters, treasury allocations, and ecosystem initiatives. The project maintains a decentralized governance framework derived from the earlier BitDAO structure, through which the community oversees protocol development and the use of treasury resources.

The Mantle project does not represent a legal entity in itself but rather a decentralized technological network governed by its community participants. The operation of the network and the associated crypto-asset are not linked to ownership or enforceable rights in a corporate sense, but instead confer participation and governance capabilities within the blockchain protocol's framework.

D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the cryptoasset project

Name	Position	Address



Mantle Network Ltd.	Mantle Network Ltd.	registered in the United
	appears to act as an	Kingdom, although third-
	administrative and	party sources indicate
	operational support entity	potential operational
	for the Mantle ecosystem	presence in Singapore.
	without exercising	The exact and final
	controlling influence over	address of this entity
	the crypto-asset.	cannot be conclusively
		verified.
Jordi Alexander	Co-Founder	Could not be identified.
Arjun Krishan Kalsy	Co-Founder	Could not be identified.
Brian Trunzo	Chief Growth Officer	Could not be identified.

D.6 Utility Token Classification

The token does not classify as a utility token.

D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects

Not applicable.

D.8 Plans for the token

The Mantle project has evolved through several developmental phases since its inception and continues to follow a structured roadmap aimed at advancing the technical and operational capacity of its modular Layer-2 network. The project originated in 2022 under the initiative of the BitDAO community, which proposed the creation of a Layer-2 blockchain architecture designed to operate on top of Ethereum. Following community approval, the concept was formally introduced under the name "Mantle" in November 2022. In early 2023, the project launched its public test network, providing developers with an opportunity to evaluate the functionality of the modular design and to test compatibility with existing Ethereum-based applications. In July 2023,



the Mantle mainnet was released, marking the transition from a test environment to an operational network.

Looking forward (according to https://docs.mantle.xyz/network/system-information/roadmap, accessed 2025-10-24), the roadmap for outlines several planned objectives. These include the completion of the migration to a ZK-based rollup model, the decentralisation of sequencing and validation components, and the expansion of interoperability features to enable communication between Layer-2 networks. Additional milestones include the continued development of tools for decentralized finance (DeFi), non-fungible tokens (NFTs), and institutional on-chain applications, along with initiatives to broaden community participation and governance through ecosystem incentives and treasury deployments.

Resource allocation within the Mantle framework is managed through community governance, with funds primarily dedicated to protocol development, network maintenance, ecosystem support, and research into scalability technologies. The precise distribution of resources may vary over time as the community evaluates priorities through formal governance proposals.

It should be noted that all forward-looking statements and planned milestones are subject to uncertainty. The realization of the anticipated developments depends on a range of technical, operational, market, and regulatory factors. There is no assurance that the described milestones will be achieved within the expected timeframe, or at all, and actual progress may differ materially from the published roadmap.

D.9 Resource allocation

According to the information disclosed by the Mantle project (https://docs.mantle.xyz/governance/parameters/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-22), public data on the token's supply and allocation are available and describe the relative distribution between circulating supply and treasury holdings. The total token supply of MNT is reported to amount to approximately 6,219,316,768 MNT. Of this total, around 3,250,000,000 MNT are currently in circulation, while the remaining portion - approximately 49 % of the total supply - is held in the project's treasury under

community governance. These treasury holdings are intended to be used for ecosystem

development, liquidity programs, and governance-related initiatives as determined

through community proposals.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain on Ethereum:

https://etherscan.io/token/0x3c3a81e81dc49a522a592e7622a7e711c06bf354#balance

S

On Mantle:

https://mantlescan.xyz/token/0xDeadDeAddeAddeAddeadDEADDEAdDeaDDeAD0000#

balances

Investors must be aware that a public blockchain address cannot necessarily be

attributed to a single natural or legal person, which limits the ability to determine

precise economic control or potential future market actions. Such limitations, as well as

future token unlocks or vesting changes, may affect the circulating supply and could

have an impact on the token's market value.

D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper was drawn up for the admission to trading and not

for collecting funds for the crypto-asset-project.

Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets

or their admission to trading

E.1 Public offering or admission to trading

The white paper concerns the admission to trading (i. e. ATTR) on any Crypto Asset

Service Providers platform that has obtained the written consent of Crypto Risk Metrics

GmbH as the person drafting this white paper.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading

As already stated in A.13, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims to provide central services to

draw up crypto-asset white papers in accordance to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING

REGULATION (EU) 2024/2984.

E.3 Fundraising target

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.4 Minimum subscription goals

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.5 Maximum subscription goals

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.6 Oversubscription acceptance

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.7 Oversubscription allocation

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.8 Issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

E.10 Subscription fee

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.11 Offer price determination method

Once the token is admitted to trading its price will be determined by demand (buyers)

and supply (sellers).

E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets

The total supply of the crypto-asset is, according to the the crypto-asset project

(https://docs.mantle.xyz/governance/parameters/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-22) set

at 6,219,316,768 units. Investors should note that changes in the token supply can have

a negative impact. The effective amount of tokens available on the market depends on

the number of tokens released by the issuer or other parties at any given time, as well

as potential reductions through token "burning." As a result, the circulating supply may

differ from the total supply.

E.13 Targeted holders

ALL

E.14 Holder restrictions

The Holder restrictions are subject to the rules applicable to the Crypto Asset Service

Provider as well as additional restrictions the Crypto Asset Service Providers might set in

force.

E.15 Reimbursement notice

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.16 Refund mechanism

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24



E.17 Refund timeline

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.18 Offer phases

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.19 Early purchase discount

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.20 Time-limited offer

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.21 Subscription period beginning

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.22 Subscription period end

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase

The payment methods are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.26 Right of withdrawal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets

The transfer of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the

Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.28 Transfer time schedule

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements

The technical requirements that the purchaser is required to fulfil to hold the crypto-

assets of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the

Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name

Not applicable.

E.31 CASP identifier

Not applicable.

E.32 Placement form

Not applicable.

E.33 Trading platforms name

The trading on all MiCAR-compliant trading platforms is sought.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)

Not applicable.

E.35 Trading platforms access

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset.

E.36 Involved costs

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset. Furthermore, costs may occur for

making transfers out of the platform (i. e. "gas costs" for blockchain network use that

may exceed the value of the crypto-asset itself).

E.37 Offer expenses

Not applicable, as this crypto-asset white paper concerns the admission to trading and

not the offer of the token to the public.

E.38 Conflicts of interest

MiCAR-compliant Crypto Asset Service Providers shall have strong measurements in

place in order to manage conflicts of interests. Due to the broad audience this white-

paper is adressing, potential investors should always check the conflicts of Interest

policy of their respective counterparty.

E.39 Applicable law

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.

E.40 Competent court

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.



Part F – Information about the crypto-assets

F.1 Crypto-asset type

The crypto-asset described in the white paper is classified as a crypto-asset under the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCAR) but does not qualify as an electronic money token (EMT) or an asset-referenced token (ART). It is a digital representation of value that can be stored and transferred using distributed ledger technology (DLT) or similar technology, without embodying or conferring any rights to its holder.

The asset does not aim to maintain a stable value by referencing an official currency, a basket of assets, or any other underlying rights. Instead, its valuation is entirely market-driven, based on supply and demand dynamics, and not supported by a stabilization mechanism. It is neither pegged to any fiat currency nor backed by any external assets, distinguishing it clearly from EMTs and ARTs.

Furthermore, the crypto-asset is not categorized as a financial instrument, deposit, insurance product, pension product, or any other regulated financial product under EU law. It does not grant financial rights, voting rights, or any contractual claims to its holders, ensuring that it remains outside the scope of regulatory frameworks applicable to traditional financial instruments.

F.2 Crypto-asset functionality

The MNT token functions as the native and governance asset within the Mantle Network, a modular Layer-2 blockchain built on top of Ethereum. Its primary functional purpose is to facilitate network operations, participate in governance decisions, and enable value transfer within the ecosystem.

From a technical perspective, MNT is used to pay transaction fees on the Mantle Network, thereby compensating network operators for processing and validating transactions. As a governance token, it allows holders to submit and vote on proposals concerning protocol upgrades, treasury allocations, and other decisions relevant to the network's evolution. These governance processes are conducted through on-chain mechanisms that ensure transparency and traceability of outcomes.

28

Beyond its role in governance and transaction settlement, MNT may also serve as a unit

of account for certain incentive and liquidity programs determined through community

governance. The token can be allocated to ecosystem participants, developers, or

validators as part of initiatives designed to support network activity and decentralization.

However, such allocations and related incentive mechanisms are subject to future

governance approval and may vary over time.

It is important to emphasize that holding MNT does not confer ownership rights, claims

to profits, or any legally enforceable entitlements in relation to a specific entity or the

underlying protocol. The token's functionality is limited to its technical and governance-

related purposes within the Mantle ecosystem, and its value or utility depends on

continued adoption and operation of the network.

F.3 Planned application of functionalities

See D.8.

A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the

data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the

register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as

specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article

F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper

The white paper type is "other crypto-assets" (i. e. "OTHR").

F.5 The type of submission

The white paper submission type is "NEWT", which stands for new token.

F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics

The tokens are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the

Ethereum and Mantle blockchain. The tokens are fungible (up to 18 digits after the

decimal point) with a total supply of 6,219,316,768 units. The tokens are a digital

representation of value, and have no inherent rights attached as well as no intrinsic

utility.



F.7 Commercial name or trading name

See F.13.

F.8 Website of the issuer

https://group.mantle.xyz/

F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading

2025-11-24

F.10 Publication date

2025-11-24

F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer

It is not possible to exclude a possibility that the issuer of the token provides or will provide other services not covered by Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 (i.e. MiCAR).

F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper

ΕN

F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available

K1KRW1TFQ, C0D7M4H0R

F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available

QH1GF1J5H

F.15 Voluntary data flag

Mandatory.

F.16 Personal data flag

The white paper does contain personal data.

F.17 LEI eligibility

The issuer should be eligible for a Legal Entity Identifier.

F.18 Home Member State

Germany

F.19 Host Member States

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland,

France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,

Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden

Part G - Information on the rights and obligations attached to the

crypto-assets

G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations

The crypto-asset does not grant any legally enforceable or contractual rights or

obligations to its holders or purchasers.

Any functionalities accessible through the underlying technology are of a purely

technical or operational nature and do not constitute rights comparable to ownership,

profit participation, governance, or similar entitlements known from traditional financial

instruments.

Accordingly, holders do not acquire any claim capable of legal enforcement against the

issuer or any third party.

G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations

As the crypto-asset does not establish any legally enforceable rights or obligations, there

are no applicable procedures or conditions for their exercise.

Any interaction or functionality that may be available within the technical infrastructure

of the project - such as participation mechanisms or protocol-level features - serves an

operational purpose only and does not create or evidence a contractual or statutory

entitlement.

FFG: QH1GF1|5H - 2025-10-24

G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations

Because the crypto-asset does not confer legally enforceable rights or obligations, there

are no conditions or mechanisms under which such rights could be modified.

Adjustments to the technical protocol, smart contract logic, or related systems may

occur in the ordinary course of development or maintenance.

Such changes do not alter any legal position of holders, as no contractual or regulatory

rights exist. Holders should not interpret technical updates or governance-related

changes as amendments to legally binding entitlements.

G.4 Future public offers

Information on the future offers to the public of crypto-assets were not available at the

time of writing this white paper (2025-10-20).

G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets

According to the information disclosed by the Mantle project

(https://docs.mantle.xyz/governance/parameters/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-22),

public data on the token's supply and allocation are available and describe the relative

distribution between circulating supply and treasury holdings. The total token supply of

MNT is reported to amount to approximately 6,219,316,768 MNT. Of this total, around

3,250,000,000 MNT are currently in circulation, while the remaining portion -

approximately 49 % of the total supply - is held in the project's treasury under

community governance. These treasury holdings are intended to be used for ecosystem

development, liquidity programs, and governance-related initiatives as determined

through community proposals and can be interpreted as issuer-retained.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain on Ethereum:

https://etherscan.io/token/0x3c3a81e81dc49a522a592e7622a7e711c06bf354#balance

S

On Mantle:

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

https://mantlescan.xyz/token/0xDeadDeAddeAddEAddeadDEaDDEAdDeaDDeAD0000#

balances

Investors must be aware that a public blockchain address cannot necessarily be

attributed to a single natural or legal person, which limits the ability to determine

precise economic control or potential future market actions. Such limitations, as well as

future token unlocks or vesting changes, may affect the circulating supply and could

have an impact on the token's market value.

G.6 Utility token classification

No

G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens

Not applicable.

G.8 Utility tokens redemption

Not applicable.

G.9 Non-trading request

The admission to trading is sought.

G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions

The crypto-assets as such do not have any transfer restrictions and are generally freely

transferable. The Crypto Asset Service Providers can impose their own restrictions in

agreements they enter with their clients. The Crypto Asset Service Providers may

impose restrictions to buyers and sellers in accordance with applicable laws and internal

policies and terms.

G.12 Supply adjustment protocols

No, there are no fixed protocols that can increase or decrease the supply implemented

as of 2025-10-17. Also, it is possible to decrease the circulating supply, by transferring

crypto-assets to so called "burn-addresses", which are addresses that render the

crypto-asset "non-transferable" after sent to those addresses.

G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms

For the crypto-asset in scope, the supply is limited to 6,219,316,768 tokens according to

the crypto-asset project (https://docs.mantle.xyz/governance/parameters/tokenomics,

accessed 2025-10-22). Investors should note that changes in the token supply can have

a negative impact.

G.14 Token value protection schemes

No, the token does not have value protection schemes.

G.15 Token value protection schemes description

Not applicable.

G.16 Compensation schemes

No, the token does not have compensation schemes.

G.17 Compensation schemes description

Not applicable.

G.18 Applicable law

Applicable law likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

G.19 Competent court

Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

Part H - information on the underlying technology

H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)

See F.13.

H.2 Protocols and technical standards

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto

assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be

taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset operates on a well-defined set of protocols and technical standards

that are intended to ensure its security, decentralization, and functionality. It is running

on the Ethereum blockchain. Below are some of the key ones:

1. Network Protocols

The crypto-asset follows a decentralized, peer-to-peer (P2P) protocol where nodes

communicate over the crypto-asset's DevP2P protocol using RLPx for data encoding.

- Transactions and smart contract execution are secured through Proof-of-Stake (PoS)

consensus.

- Validators propose and attest blocks in Ethereum's Beacon Chain, finalized through

Casper FFG.

- The Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) executes smart contracts using Turing-complete

bytecode.

2. Transaction and Address Standards

crypto-asset Address Format: 20-byte addresses derived from Keccak-256 hashing of

public keys.

Transaction Types:

- Legacy Transactions (pre-EIP-1559)

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

- Type 0 (Pre-EIP-1559 transactions)

- Type 1 (EIP-2930: Access list transactions)

- Type 2 (EIP-1559: Dynamic fee transactions with base fee burning)

The Pectra upgrade introduces EIP-7702, a transformative improvement to account abstraction. This allows externally owned accounts (EOAs) to temporarily act as smart contract wallets during a transaction. It provides significant flexibility, enabling functionality such as sponsored gas payments and batched operations without

changing the underlying account model permanently.

3. Blockchain Data Structure & Block Standards

- the crypto-asset's blockchain consists of accounts, smart contracts, and storage states,

maintained through Merkle Patricia Trees for efficient verification.

Each block contains:

- Block Header: Parent hash, state root, transactions root, receipts root, timestamp, gas

limit, gas used, proposer signature.

- Transactions: Smart contract executions and token transfers.

- Block Size: No fixed limit; constrained by the gas limit per block (variable over time). In

line with Ethereum's scalability roadmap, Pectra includes EIP-7691, which increases the

maximum number of "blobs" (data chunks introduced with EIP-4844) per block. This

change significantly boosts the data availability layer used by rollups, supporting

cheaper and more efficient Layer 2 scalability.

4. Upgrade & Improvement Standards

Ethereum follows the Ethereum Improvement Proposal (EIP) process for upgrades.

The following applies to Mantle:

Mantle is built upon Ethereum Layer 2 standards using an Optimistic Rollup framework.

It features a modular design where execution, settlement, and data availability are

FFG: QH1GF1|5H - 2025-10-24

decoupled. For data availability, it integrates EigenDA, allowing the network to remain

efficient and scalable while maintaining Ethereum compatibility.

H.3 Technology used

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto

assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be

taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

1. Decentralized Ledger: The Ethereum blockchain acts as a decentralized ledger for all

token transactions, with the intention to preserving an unalterable record of token

transfers and ownership to ensure both transparency and security.

2. Private Key Management: To safeguard their token holdings, users must securely

store their wallet's private keys and recovery phrases.

3. Cryptographic Integrity: Ethereum employs elliptic curve cryptography to validate and

execute transactions securely, intended to ensure the integrity of all transfers. The

Keccak-256 (SHA-3 variant) Hashing Algorithm is used for hashing and address

generation. The crypto-asset uses ECDSA with secp256k1 curve for key generation and

digital signatures. Next to that, BLS (Boneh-Lynn-Shacham) signatures are used for

validator aggregation in PoS.

The following applies to Mantle:

Mantle implements a modular blockchain architecture that separates execution (via the

EVM), data availability (via EigenDA), and settlement (on Ethereum). This approach

enhances scalability, reduces transaction costs, and ensures compatibility with existing

Ethereum tooling. It also uses multi-party compution to reduce withdrawel times of the

optimistic rollup.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24



H.4 Consensus mechanism

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The Merge in 2022, replaces mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32 ETH every block a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) using Casper-FFG. The Beacon Chain coordinates validators, while the fork-choice rule (LMD-GHOST) ensures the chain follows the heaviest accumulated validator votes. Validators earn rewards for proposing and verifying blocks, but face slashing for malicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to improve energy efficiency, security, and scalability, with future upgrades like Proto-Danksharding enhancing transaction efficiency.

The following applies to Mantle:

Mantle does not operate a native consensus layer. Instead, it posts transaction data to its data availability layer EigenDA and validity certificates to Ethereum, inheriting its security model. It uses optimistic rollups with fraud proofs to ensure correctness of off-chain execution and trustless settlement.

H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's PoS system secures transactions through validator incentives and

economic penalties. Validators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing

blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in

newly issued ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a

base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to

validators. Validators face slashing if they act maliciously and incur penalties for

inactivity. This system aims to increase security by aligning incentives while making the

crypto-asset's fee structure more predictable and deflationary during high network

activity.

The following applies to Mantle:

Participants in the Mantle ecosystem, such as sequencers and data availability

providers, are incentivized through network fees. Thanks to the modular setup and off-

chain execution, transaction fees are significantly reduced compared to Ethereum

mainnet. To get crypto-assets in and out of Mantle, a special smart contract on

Ethereum is used. Since there is no consensus mechanism on L2 an additional

mechanism ensures that only existing funds can be withdrawn from L2. When a user

wants to withdraw funds, that user needs to submit a withdrawal request on L1. If this

request remains undisputed for a period of time the funds can be withdrawn. During

this time period Mantle validators can dispute the claim, which will start a dispute

resolution process. This process is designed with economic incentives for correct

behavior of all participants.

H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology

No, DLT not operated by the issuer, offeror, a person seeking admission to trading or a

third-party acting on the issuer's their behalf.

H.7 DLT functionality description

Not applicable.

H.8 Audit

Since the question of "technology" is understood in a broad sense, the answer to the question of whether an examination of the "technology used" has been carried out is "no, we cannot guarantee that all parts of the technology used have been examined."

This is because this report focuses on risks and we cannot guarantee that every part of

the technology used has been examined.

H.9 Audit outcome

Not applicable.

Part I - Information on risks

I.1 Offer-related risks

1. Regulatory and Compliance

This white paper has been prepared with utmost caution; however, uncertainties in the regulatory requirements and future changes in regulatory frameworks could potentially impact the token's legal status and its tradability. There is also a high probability that other laws will come into force, changing the rules for the trading of the token.

Therefore, such developments shall be monitored and acted upon accordingly.

2. Operational and Technical

Blockchain Dependency: The token is entirely dependent on the blockchain the crypto-asset is issued upon. Any issues, such as downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities within the blockchain, could adversely affect the token's functionality.

Smart Contract Risks: Smart contracts governing the token may contain hidden vulnerabilities or bugs that could disrupt the token offering or distribution processes.

Connection Dependency: As the trading of the token also involves other trading venues, technical risks such as downtime of the connection or faulty code are also possible.

Human errors: Due to the irrevocability of blockchain-transactions, approving wrong

transactions or using incorrect networks/addresses will most likely result in funds not

being accessibly anymore.

Custodial risk: When admitting the token to trading, the risk of losing clients assets due

to hacks or other malicious acts is given. This is due to the fact the token is hold in

custodial wallets for the customers.

3. Market and Liquidity

Volatility: The token will most likely be subject to high volatility and market speculation.

Price fluctuations could be significant, posing a risk of substantial losses to holders.

Liquidity Risk: Liquidity is contingent upon trading activity levels on decentralized

exchanges (DEXs) and potentially on centralized exchanges (CEXs), should they be

involved. Low trading volumes may restrict the buying and selling capabilities of the

tokens.

4. Counterparty

As the admission to trading involves the connection to other trading venues,

counterparty risks arise. These include, but are not limited to, the following risks:

General Trading Platform Risk: The risk of trading platforms not operating to the highest

standards is given. Examples like FTX show that especially in nascent industries,

compliance and oversight-frameworks might not be fully established and/or enforced.

Listing or Delisting Risks: The listing or delisting of the token is subject to the trading

partners internal processes. Delisting of the token at the connected trading partners

could harm or completely halt the ability to trade the token.

5. Liquidity

Liquidity of the token can vary, especially when trading activity is limited. This could

result in high slippage when trading a token.

6. Failure of one or more Counterparties

FFG: QH1GF1|5H - 2025-10-24

Another risk stems from the internal operational processes of the counterparties used.

As there is no specific oversight other than the typical due diligence check, it cannot be

guaranteed that all counterparties adhere to the best market standards.

Bankruptcy Risk: Counterparties could go bankrupt, possibly resulting in a total loss for

the clients assets hold at that counterparty.

7. Information asymmetry

Different groups of participants may not have the same access to technical details or

governance information, leading to uneven decision-making and potential

disadvantages for less informed investors.

I.2 Issuer-related risks

1. Insolvency

As with every other commercial endeavor, the risk of insolvency of entities involved in

the project is given. This could be caused by but is not limited to lack of interest from

the public, lack of funding, incapacitation of key developers and project members, force

majeure (including pandemics and wars) or lack of commercial success or prospects.

2. Counterparty

In order to operate, entities involved in the project have most likely engaged in different

business relationships with one or more third parties on which they and the network

strongly depend on. Loss or changes in the leadership or key partners of entities

involved in the project and/or the respective counterparties can lead to disruptions, loss

of trust, or project failure. This could result in a total loss of economic value for the

crypto-asset holders.

3. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based technologies are subject to evolving regulatory

landscapes worldwide. Regulations vary across jurisdictions and may be subject to

significant changes. Non-compliance can result in investigations, enforcement actions,

penalties, fines, sanctions, or the prohibition of the trading of the crypto-asset impacting

its viability and market acceptance. This could also result in entities involved in the

project to be subject to private litigation. The aforeementioned would most likely also

lead to changes with respect to trading of the crypto-asset that may negatively impact

the value, legality, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

4. Operational

Failure to develop or maintain effective internal control, or any difficulties encountered

in the implementation of such controls, or their improvement could harm the business,

causing disruptions, financial losses, or reputational damage of entities involved in the

project.

5. Industry

The network and all entities involved in the project are and will be subject to all of the

risks and uncertainties associated with a crypto-project, where the token issued has

zero intrinsic value. History has shown that most of this projects resulted in financial

losses for the investors and were only set-up to enrich a few insiders with the money

from retail investors.

6. Reputational

The network and all entities involved in the project face the risk of negative publicity,

whether due to, without limitation, operational failures, security breaches, or association

with illicit activities, which can damage the reputation of the network and all entities

involved in the project and, by extension, the value and acceptance of the crypto-asset.

7. Competition

There are numerous other crypto-asset projects in the same realm, which could have an

effect on the crypto-asset in question.

8. Unanticipated Risk

In addition to the risks included in this section, there might be other risks that cannot be

foreseen. Additional risks may also materialize as unanticipated variations or

combinations of the risks discussed.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks

1. Valuation

As the crypto-asset does not have any intrinsic value, and grants neither rights nor

obligations, the only mechanism to determine the price is supply and demand.

Historically, most crypto-assets have dramatically lost value and were not a beneficial

investment for the investors. Therefore, investing in these crypto-assets poses a high

risk, and the loss of funds can occur.

2. Market Volatility

Crypto-asset prices are highly susceptible to dramatic fluctuations influence by various

factors, including market sentiment, regulatory changes, technological advancements,

and macroeconomic conditions. These fluctuations can result in significant financial

losses within short periods, making the market highly unpredictable and challenging for

investors. This is especially true for crypto-assets without any intrinsic value, and

investors should be prepared to lose the complete amount of money invested in the

respective crypto-assets.

3. Liquidity Challenges

Some crypto-assets suffer from limited liquidity, which can present difficulties when

executing large trades without significantly impacting market prices. This lack of liquidity

can lead to substantial financial losses, particularly during periods of rapid market

movements, when selling assets may become challenging or require accepting

unfavorable prices.

4. Asset Security

Crypto-assets face unique security threats, including the risk of theft from exchanges or

digital wallets, loss of private keys, and potential failures of custodial services. Since

crypto transactions are generally irreversible, a security breach or mismanagement can

result in the permanent loss of assets, emphasizing the importance of strong security

measures and practices.

5. Scams

FFG: QH1GF1|5H - 2025-10-24



The irrevocability of transactions executed using blockchain infrastructure, as well as the pseudonymous nature of blockchain ecosystems, attracts scammers. Therefore, investors in crypto-assets must proceed with a high degree of caution when investing in if they invest in crypto-assets. Typical scams include – but are not limited to – the creation of fake crypto-assets with the same name, phishing on social networks or by email, fake giveaways/airdrops, identity theft, among others.

6. Blockchain Dependency

Any issues with the blockchain used, such as network downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities, could disrupt the transfer, trading, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

7. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

The smart contract used to issue the crypto-asset could include bugs, coding errors, or vulnerabilities which could be exploited by malicious actors, potentially leading to asset loss, unauthorized data access, or unintended operational consequences.

8. Privacy Concerns

All transactions on the blockchain are permanently recorded and publicly accessible, which can potentially expose user activities. Although addresses are pseudonoymous, the transparent and immutable nature of blockchain allows for advanced forensic analysis and intelligence gathering. This level of transparency can make it possible to link blockchain addresses to real-world identities over time, compromising user privacy.

9. Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory environment surrounding crypto-assets is constantly evolving, which can directly impact their usage, valuation, and legal status. Changes in regulatory frameworks may introduce new requirements related to consumer protection, taxation, and anti-money laundering compliance, creating uncertainty and potential challenges for investors and businesses operating in the crypto space. Although the crypto-asset do not create or confer any contractual or other obligations on any party, certain regulators may nevertheless qualify the crypto-asset as a security or other financial instrument under their applicable law, which in turn would have drastic consequences



for the crypto-asset, including the potential loss of the invested capital in the asset. Furthermore, this could lead to the sellers and its affiliates, directors, and officers being obliged to pay fines, including federal civil and criminal penalties, or make the crypto-asset illegal or impossible to use, buy, or sell in certain jurisdictions. On top of that, regulators could take action against the network and all entities involved in the project as well as the trading platforms if the the regulators view the token as an unregistered offering of securities or the operations otherwise as a violation of existing law. Any of these outcomes would negatively affect the value and/or functionality of the crypto-asset and/or could cause a complete loss of funds of the invested money in the crypto-asset for the investor.

10. Counterparty risk

Engaging in agreements or storing crypto-assets on exchanges introduces counterparty risks, including the failure of the other party to fulfill their obligations. Investors may face potential losses due to factors such as insolvency, regulatory non-compliance, or fraudulent activities by counterparties, highlighting the need for careful due diligence when engaging with third parties.

11. Reputational concerns

Crypto-assets are often subject to reputational risks stemming from associations with illegal activities, high-profile security breaches, and technological failures. Such incidents can undermine trust in the broader ecosystem, negatively affecting investor confidence and market value, thereby hindering widespread adoption and acceptance.

12. Technological Innovation

New technologies or platforms could render the network's design less competitive or even break fundamental parts (i.e., quantum computing might break cryptographic algorithms used to secure the network), impacting adoption and value. Participants should approach the crypto-asset with a clear understanding of its speculative and volatile nature and be prepared to accept these risks and bear potential losses, which could include the complete loss of the asset's value.

13. Community and Narrative

As the crypto-asset has no intrinsic value, all trading activity is based on the intended

market value is heavily dependent on its community.

14. Interest Rate Change

Historically, changes in interest, foreign exchange rates, and increases in volatility have

increased credit and market risks and may also affect the value of the crypto-asset.

Although historic data does not predict the future, potential investors should be aware

that general movements in local and other factors may affect the market, and this could

also affect market sentiment and, therefore most likely also the price of the crypto-

asset.

15. Taxation

The taxation regime that applies to the trading of the crypto-asset by individual holders

or legal entities will depend on the holder's jurisdiction. It is the holder's sole

responsibility to comply with all applicable tax laws, including, but not limited to, the

reporting and payment of income tax, wealth tax, or similar taxes arising in connection

with the appreciation and depreciation of the crypto-asset.

16. Anti-Money Laundering/Counter-Terrorism Financing

It cannot be ruled out that crypto-asset wallet addresses interacting with the crypto-

asset have been, or will be used for money laundering or terrorist financing purposes,

or are identified with a person known to have committed such offenses.

17. Market Abuse

It is noteworthy that crypto-assets are potentially prone to increased market abuse

risks, as the underlying infrastructure could be used to exploit arbitrage opportunities

through schemes such as front-running, spoofing, pump-and-dump, and fraud across

different systems, platforms, or geographic locations. This is especially true for crypto-

assets with a low market capitalization and few trading venues, and potential investors

should be aware that this could lead to a total loss of the funds invested in the crypto-

asset.

FFG: QH1GF1J5H - 2025-10-24

18. Timeline and Milestones

Critical project milestones could be delayed by technical, operational, or market

challenges.

19. Legal ownership: Depending on jurisdiction, token holders may not have

enforceable legal rights over their holdings, limiting avenues for recourse in disputes or

cases of fraud.

20. Jurisdictional blocking: Access to exchanges, wallets, or interfaces may be restricted

based on user location or regulatory measures, even if the token remains transferable

on-chain.

21. Token concentration: A large proportion of tokens held by a few actors could allow

price manipulation, governance dominance, or sudden sell-offs impacting market

stability.

22. Ecosystem incentive misalignment: If validator, developer, or user rewards become

unattractive or distorted, network security and participation could decline.

23. Governance deadlock: Poorly structured or fragmented governance processes may

prevent timely decisions, creating delays or strategic paralysis.

24. Compliance misalignment: Features or delivery mechanisms may unintentionally

conflict with evolving regulations, particularly regarding consumer protection or data

privacy.

I.4 Project implementation-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

implementation risk is referring to the risks on the Crypto Asset Service Providers side.

These can be, but are not limited to, typical project management risks, such as key-

personal-risks, timeline-risks, and technical implementation-risks.



I.5 Technology-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the technology-related risks mainly involve the DLT networks where the crypto asset is issued in.

1. Blockchain Dependency Risks

Network Downtime: Potential outages or congestion on the involved blockchains could interrupt on-chain token transfers, trading, and other functions.

2. Smart Contract Risks

Vulnerabilities: The smart contract governing the token could contain bugs or vulnerabilities that may be exploited, affecting token distribution or vesting schedules.

3. Wallet and Storage Risks

Private Key Management: Token holders must securely manage their private keys and recovery phrases to prevent permanent loss of access to their tokens, which includes Trading-Venues, who are a prominent target for dedicated hacks.

Compatibility Issues: The tokens require compatible wallets for storage and transfer. Any incompatibility or technical issues with these wallets could impact token accessibility.

4. Network Security Risks

Attack Risks: The blockchains may face threats such as denial-of-service (DoS) attacks or exploits targeting its consensus mechanism, which could compromise network integrity.

Centralization Concerns: Although claiming to be decentralized, the relatively smaller number of validators/concentration of stakes within the networks compared to other blockchains might pose centralization risks, potentially affecting network resilience.

5. Evolving Technology Risks: Technological Obsolescence: The fast pace of innovation in blockchain technology may make the used token standard appear less competitive or become outdated, potentially impacting the usability or adoption of the token.

6. Bridges: The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors.

This asset bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism

may not function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack. In that case,

the supply may change immediately or the ownership rights to tokens may be changed.

7. Forking risk: Network upgrades may split the blockchain into separate versions,

potentially creating duplicate tokens or incompatibility between different versions of the

protocol.

8. Economic abstraction: Mechanisms such as gas relayers or wrapped tokens may allow

users to bypass the native asset, reducing its direct demand and weakening its

economic role.

9. Dust and spam attacks: Low-value transactions may flood the network, increasing

ledger size, reducing efficiency, and exposing user addresses to tracking.

10. Frontend dependency: If users rely on centralised web interfaces or wallets, service

outages or compromises could block access even if the blockchain itself continues to

operate.

I.6 Mitigation measures

None.

Part J - Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to

adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related

adverse impacts

J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

S.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

S.3 Name of the cryptoasset

Mantle

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be

taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The Merge in 2022, replaces mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32 ETH every block a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) using Casper-FFG. The Beacon Chain coordinates validators, while the fork-choice rule (LMD-GHOST) ensures the chain follows the heaviest accumulated validator votes. Validators earn rewards for proposing and verifying blocks, but face slashing for malicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to improve energy efficiency, security, and scalability, with future upgrades like Proto-

The following applies to Mantle:

Mantle does not operate a native consensus layer. Instead, it posts transaction data to its data availability layer EigenDA and validity certificates to Ethereum, inheriting its security model. It uses optimistic rollups with fraud proofs to ensure correctness of off-chain execution and trustless settlement.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Danksharding enhancing transaction efficiency.

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and Mantle. In general, when evaluating crypto

assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be

taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's PoS system secures transactions through validator incentives and

economic penalties. Validators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing

blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in

newly issued ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a

base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to

validators. Validators face slashing if they act maliciously and incur penalties for

inactivity. This system aims to increase security by aligning incentives while making the

crypto-asset's fee structure more predictable and deflationary during high network

activity.

The following applies to Mantle:

Participants in the Mantle ecosystem, such as sequencers and data availability

providers, are incentivized through network fees. Thanks to the modular setup and off-

chain execution, transaction fees are significantly reduced compared to Ethereum

mainnet. To get crypto-assets in and out of Mantle, a special smart contract on

Ethereum is used. Since there is no consensus mechanism on L2 an additional

mechanism ensures that only existing funds can be withdrawn from L2. When a user

wants to withdraw funds, that user needs to submit a withdrawal request on L1. If this

request remains undisputed for a period of time the funds can be withdrawn. During

this time period Mantle validators can dispute the claim, which will start a dispute

resolution process. This process is designed with economic incentives for correct

52

behavior of all participants.

S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates

2024-10-20

S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates

2025-10-20

S.8 Energy consumption

54556.16126 kWh/a

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components: To

determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network

Ethereum and Mantle is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a

fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is

determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When

calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token

Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in

scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier

Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of

participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort

using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically

rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side

when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.10 Renewable energy consumption

32.2255486008 %

S.11 Energy intensity

0.00007 kWh

S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions - Controlled

0.00000 tCO2e/a

S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased

18.15700 tCO2e/a

S.14 GHG intensity

0.00002 kgCO2e



S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute -Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data1. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of Energy" [original data1. Retrieved https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0

