

White paper drafted under the European Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 for FFG FBJ51GPW2



Preamble

00. Table of Contents

01. Date of notification	11
02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	11
03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 202	
04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation 2023/1114	
05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/17	11411
06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation 2023/1114	
Summary	12
07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation 2023/1114	
08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset	12
09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability	-
10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading	13
Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading	ζ13
A.1 Name	13
A.2 Legal form	13
A.3 Registered address	13
A.4 Head office	14
A 5 Registration date	14



	A.6 Legal entity identifier	14
	A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	14
	A.8 Contact telephone number	14
	A.9 E-mail address	14
	A.10 Response time (Days)	14
	A.11 Parent company	14
	A.12 Members of the management body	14
	A.13 Business activity	14
	A.14 Parent company business activity	15
	A.15 Newly established	15
	A.16 Financial condition for the past three years	15
	A.17 Financial condition since registration	15
)	art B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person see	eking
a	dmission to trading	16
	B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading	16
	B.2 Name	16
	B.3 Legal form	16
	B.4. Registered address	16
	B.5 Head office	17
	B.6 Registration date	17
	B.7 Legal entity identifier	17
	B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	17
	B.9 Parent company	17
	D 40 Marshara a Cultura a construction of	17
	B.10 Members of the management body	1 /



B.12 Parent company business activity	18
Part C – Information about the operator of the trading plat	
up the crypto-asset white paper and information about	
crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second (EU) 2023/1114	
C.1 Name	18
C.2 Legal form	18
C.3 Registered address	18
C.4 Head office	19
C.5 Registration date	19
C.6 Legal entity identifier	19
C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable na	tional law19
C.8 Parent company	19
C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation	19
C.10 Members of the Management body	19
C.11 Operator business activity	19
C.12 Parent company business activity	19
C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white pa	aper according to Article 6(1),
second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	19
C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons refe	rred to in Article 6(1), second
subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	19
Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project	20
D.1 Crypto-asset project name	20
D.2 Crypto-assets name	20
D.3. Abbreviation	20



D.4 Crypto-asset project description	20
D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the	crypto-
asset project	21
D.6 Utility Token Classification	22
D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects	22
D.8 Plans for the token	22
D.9 Resource allocation	23
D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets	24
Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admiss	sion to
trading	24
E.1 Public offering or admission to trading	24
E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading	24
E.3 Fundraising target	24
E.4 Minimum subscription goals	25
E.5 Maximum subscription goals	25
E.6 Oversubscription acceptance	25
E.7 Oversubscription allocation	25
E.8 Issue price	25
E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price	25
E.10 Subscription fee	25
E.11 Offer price determination method	25
E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets	26
E.13 Targeted holders	26
E.14 Holder restrictions	26
E.15 Reimbursement notice	26



E.16 Refund mechanism	26
E.17 Refund timeline	26
E.18 Offer phases	26
E.19 Early purchase discount	27
E.20 Time-limited offer	27
E.21 Subscription period beginning	27
E.22 Subscription period end	27
E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets	27
E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase	27
E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement	27
E.26 Right of withdrawal	27
E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets	28
E.28 Transfer time schedule	28
E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements	28
E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name	28
E.31 CASP identifier	28
E.32 Placement form	28
E.33 Trading platforms name	28
E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)	28
E.35 Trading platforms access	28
E.36 Involved costs	29
E.37 Offer expenses	29
E.38 Conflicts of interest.	29
F 39 Applicable law	29



	E.40 Competent court	29
Ρ	art F – Information about the crypto-assets	29
	F.1 Crypto-asset type	29
	F.2 Crypto-asset functionality	30
	F.3 Planned application of functionalities	30
	A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data neces	sary
	for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Ar	ticle
	109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of	
	Article	31
	F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper	31
	F.5 The type of submission	31
	F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics	31
	F.7 Commercial name or trading name	31
	F.8 Website of the issuer	31
	F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading	31
	F.10 Publication date	31
	F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer	31
	F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper	32
	F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each	:h of
	the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available	32
	F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available	32
	F.15 Voluntary data flag	32
	F.16 Personal data flag	32
	F.17 LEI eligibility	32
	F.18 Home Member State	32



	F.19 Host Member States	32
F	art G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets	32
	G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations	32
	G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations	33
	G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations	33
	G.4 Future public offers	33
	G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets	33
	G.6 Utility token classification	34
	G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens	34
	G.8 Utility tokens redemption	34
	G.9 Non-trading request	34
	G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities	34
	G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions	35
	G.12 Supply adjustment protocols	35
	G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms	35
	G.14 Token value protection schemes	35
	G.15 Token value protection schemes description	35
	G.16 Compensation schemes	35
	G.17 Compensation schemes description	35
	G.18 Applicable law	36
	G.19 Competent court	36
P	art H – information on the underlying technology	36
	H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)	36
	H 2 Protocols and technical standards	36



H.3 Technology used	38
H.4 Consensus mechanism	39
H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees	41
H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology	43
H.7 DLT functionality description	43
H.8 Audit	43
H.9 Audit outcome	44
Part I – Information on risks	44
I.1 Offer-related risks	44
I.2 Issuer-related risks	46
I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks	47
I.4 Project implementation-related risks	52
I.5 Technology-related risks	52
I.6 Mitigation measures	54
Part J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact o	n the
climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	54
J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	54
S.1 Name	54
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54
S.3 Name of the cryptoasset	54
S.4 Consensus Mechanism	54
S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees	56
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	58
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	58
S.8 Energy consumption	58



S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies	58
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	59
S.11 Energy intensity	59
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	59
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	59
S.14 GHG intensity	59
S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies	59
S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies	60

10



01. Date of notification

2025-10-13

02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper has not been approved by any competent authority in any Member State of the European Union. The person seeking admission to trading of the crypto-asset is solely responsible for the content of this crypto-asset white paper.

03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper complies with Title II of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council and, to the best of the knowledge of the management body, the information presented in the crypto-asset white paper is fair, clear and not misleading and the crypto-asset white paper makes no omission likely to affect its import.

04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this crypto-asset white paper may lose its value in part or in full, may not always be transferable and may not be liquid.

05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Since the token has multiple functions (hybrid token), these are already conceptually not utility tokens within the meaning of the MiCAR within the definition of Article 3, 1. (9), due to the necessity "exclusively" being intended to provide access to a good or a service supplied by its issuer only.



06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this white paper is not covered by the investor compensation schemes under Directive 97/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council or the deposit guarantee schemes under Directive 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Summary

07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Warning: This summary should be read as an introduction to the crypto-asset white paper. The prospective holder should base any decision to purchase this crypto-asset on the content of the crypto-asset white paper as a whole and not on the summary alone. The offer to the public of this crypto-asset does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase financial instruments and any such offer or solicitation can be made only by means of a prospectus or other offer documents pursuant to the applicable national law. This crypto-asset white paper does not constitute a prospectus as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council or any other offer document pursuant to union or national law.

08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset

The ERA tokens referred to in this white paper are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, and are issued on the Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain network (2025-10-06 and according to DTI FFG shown in F.14).

The first activity on Ethereum can be viewed on 2025-03-25 (see https://etherscan.io/tx/0xaf2a98045547bba6bdbde09ea8146f294ae097ee333b14a15f4 462d2e01d80c2).

09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or

services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions

on the transferability

Not applicable.

10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to

trading

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is seeking admission to trading on any Crypto Asset Service

Provider platform in the European Union in accordance to Article 5 of REGULATION (EU)

2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on

markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No

1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937. In accordance to Article

5(4), this crypto-asset white paper may be used by entities admitting the token to

trading after Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person responsible for drawing up such

white paper has given its consent to its use in writing to the repective Crypto Asset

Service Provider. If a CASP wishes to use this white paper, inquiries can be made under

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com.

Part A - Information about the offeror or the person seeking

admission to trading

A.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

A.2 Legal form

2HBR

A.3 Registered address

DE, Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany



A.4 Head office

Not applicable.

A.5 Registration date

2018-12-03

A.6 Legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is registered with the commercial register in the the city of Hamburg, Germany, under number HRB 154488.

A.8 Contact telephone number

+4915144974120

A.9 E-mail address

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com

A.10 Response time (Days)

030

A.11 Parent company

Not applicable.

A.12 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Address
Tim Zölitz	Chairman	Lange Reihe 73, 20099
		Hamburg, Germany

A.13 Business activity

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is a technical service provider, who supports regulated entities in the fulfillment of their regulatory requirements. In this regard, Crypto Risk

Metrics GmbH acts as a data-provider for ESG-data according to article 66 (5). Due to

the regulations laid out in article 5 (4) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-

assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 1095/2010 and

Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims at providing

central services for crypto-asset white papers in order to minimize market confusion

due to conflicting white papers for the same asset.

A.14 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

A.15 Newly established

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH has been etablished since 2018 and is therefore not newly

established (i. e. older than three years).

A.16 Financial condition for the past three years

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH's profit after tax for the last three financial years are as

follows:

2024 (unaudited): negative 50.891,81 EUR

2023 (unaudited): negative 27.665,32 EUR

2022: 104.283,00 EUR.

As 2023 and 2024 were the years building Software for the MiCAR-Regulation which was

not yet in place, revenue streams from these investments are expeted to be generated

in 2025.

A.17 Financial condition since registration

This point would only be applicable if the company were newly established and the

financial conditions for the past three years had not been provided in the bulletpoint

before.

Part B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror

or person seeking admission to trading

B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading

Yes

B.2 Name

Based on publicly available information, it can be reasonably assumed that Caldera

operates as an incorporated business entity engaged in the development and

commercialization of blockchain infrastructure solutions.

The project presents itself consistently as a private company in its external

communications, investor announcements, and social media channels, and is described

as a "startup" in several press reports and funding disclosures.

Caldera has completed multiple institutional financing rounds, including a Series A

round led by Founders Fund, which implies the existence of a formal legal and

operational corporate structure.

However, the precise legal entity acting as the formal issuer or project operator cannot

be independently verified from publicly accessible records. No officially registered

company name (e.g., Caldera Inc., Caldera Ltd., or similar) is disclosed in primary

sources, and no verifiable corporate registration data is available through open

registries.

Accordingly, the exact legal structure of the Caldera organization remains unclear and

cannot be confirmed from external sources. Any assumptions regarding the identity of

the formal issuer must therefore be treated as indicative only and not as verified fact.

B.3 Legal form

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.4. Registered address

The project does not publicly disclose a registered office or principal place of business in

its official documentation.

FFG: FBJ51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

16



Nevertheless, according to the publicly accessible information on the official Caldera LinkedIn page (https://www.linkedin.com/company/calderaxyz/about/, accessed 2025-10-12), the company's location is indicated as being in the State of California, United States.

While this information suggests that the operational management and business presence of Caldera are based in California, the exact business address or jurisdiction of incorporation remains unspecified and cannot be independently confirmed.

Consequently, any reference to Caldera's corporate domicile must be regarded as unverified and derived solely from publicly available online sources.

B.5 Head office

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.6 Registration date

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.7 Legal entity identifier

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.9 Parent company

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.10 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Business address
Matt Katz	CEO	Could not be found while
		drafting this white paper
		(2025-10-06).



Parker Jou	СТО	Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).
Info	Due to the unclear issuer structure, it cannot be conclusively determined whether the positions described are held within the formal issuer entity itself or refer more broadly to the individuals and organizations associated with the overall crypto-asset project.	Not applicable

B.11 Business activity

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

B.12 Parent company business activity

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).

Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

C.1 Name

Not applicable.

C.2 Legal form

Not applicable.

C.3 Registered address

Not applicable.



C.4 Head office		
Not applicable.		
C.5 Registration date		
Not applicable.		
C.6 Legal entity identifier		
Not applicable.		
C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law		
Not applicable.		
C.8 Parent company		
Not applicable.		
C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation		
Not applicable.		
C.10 Members of the Management body		
Not applicable.		
C.11 Operator business activity		
Not applicable.		
C.12 Parent company business activity		
Not applicable.		
C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114		
Not applicable.		
C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114		
Not applicable.		

Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project

D.1 Crypto-asset project name

Long Name: "Caldera", Short Name: "ERA" according to the Digital Token Identifier

Foundation (www.dtif.org, DTI see F.13, FFG DTI see F.14 as of 2025-10-07).

D.2 Crypto-assets name

See F.13.

D.3 Abbreviation

See F.13.

D.4 Crypto-asset project description

According to publicly available information, Caldera is a technology project that develops

and maintains infrastructure solutions for scalable blockchain ecosystems. The project

focuses on enabling third-party developers and organizations to deploy and operate

customized rollups - application-specific blockchain environments - through a "Rollups-

as-a-Service" model.

Caldera provides the technical framework, hosting, and maintenance tools required to

launch and manage these rollups while ensuring interoperability through its proprietary

"Metalayer" architecture. The Metalayer is designed to interconnect independent

rollups, allowing asset transfers and cross-chain interactions within the broader Caldera

ecosystem.

The project's objective is to simplify blockchain scalability by offering modular,

interoperable, and developer-friendly infrastructure components. The Caldera

ecosystem includes numerous partner projects and networks that utilize its rollup

technology for decentralized applications, particularly in sectors such as gaming and

DeFi.

A native crypto-asset, referred to as ERA, is associated with the ecosystem and is used

for operational, governance, and incentive purposes.



It is assumed that Caldera operates as an incorporated business entity; however, the exact legal entity acting as the issuer cannot be independently verified. Accordingly, any references to corporate structure, control, or governance are based solely on publicly available data and may relate to the overall crypto-asset project rather than a specific issuer company.

D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the crypto-asset project

Name	Position	Business address
Matt Katz	CEO	Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).
Parker Jou	СТО	Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-10-06).
Founders Fund (Peter Thiel's VC)	Lead-Investor (Series A)	Not applicable
Investors	The official website names some investors whose general influence and legal status cannot be verified externally.	Not applicable
Cooperations	The official website names some cooperations whose general influence and legal status cannot be verified externally.	Not applicable
Info	Due to the unclear issuer structure, it cannot be conclusively	Not applicable



determined whether the positions
described are held within the
formal issuer entity itself or refer
more broadly to the individuals and
organizations associated with the
overall crypto-asset project.

D.6 Utility Token Classification

The token does not classify as a utility token.

D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects

Not applicable.

D.8 Plans for the token

At the time of drafting this white paper, no official roadmap has been published by the crypto-asset project or any formally identified issuer.

As a result, the future developments, milestones, and potential influencing factors relating to the ERA token and the broader Caldera ecosystem remain undefined and cannot be independently verified. Consequently, the strategic direction, project timing, and scope of token-related initiatives are uncertain from an investor's perspective.

Nevertheless, based on publicly available materials and historical communication from the project, a number of indicative milestones have been mentioned without formal confirmation or binding status, including:

- the progressive expansion of Caldera's "Rollups-as-a-Service" offering to additional layer-2 and layer-3 networks (2023–2024);
- the introduction of the "Metalayer" interoperability framework connecting Calderabased rollups (2024);
- the announcement of a preview version of the Caldera Bridge for cross-rollup asset transfers (2024);

- references in third-party publications to a potential Series A expansion phase and

broader ecosystem integrations (2025 onward).

These items are presented for informational purposes only and do not constitute an

official or verified roadmap of the project. The absence of a publicly disclosed and

validated development plan should be regarded as a material uncertainty affecting the

predictability of the token's future evolution.

D.9 Resource allocation

According to publicly available information

(https://docs.caldera.foundation/pages/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-10), the ERA

token distribution within the Caldera ecosystem is structured across several indicative

allocation categories, reflecting the intended functional and governance design of the

project.

Based on project documentation and external sources, the total supply of ERA tokens is

capped at 100% (1 billion tokens), divided approximately as follows: 32.08% allocated to

early backers and investors, 21.00% to the community treasury, 14.94% to the

foundation, 14.75% to the core team, 10.24% to research and development initiatives,

and 7.00% to community airdrops.

Certain allocations, particularly those associated with team, investor, and foundation

holdings, are subject to vesting and lock-up mechanisms designed to ensure alignment

with long-term project development. While such vesting schedules are referenced in

public materials, detailed timelines and conditions have not been formally verified

through independent documentation.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain, on Ethereum:

https://etherscan.io/token/0xE2AD0BF751834f2fbdC62A41014f84d67cA1de2A#balance

S.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain, on BNB Smart Chain:

https://bscscan.com/token/0x00312400303d02c323295f6e8b7309bc30fb6bce#balance

S



The investor must be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or future actions. Token distribution changes can negatively impact the investor.

D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper was drawn up for the admission to trading and not for collecting funds for the crypto-asset-project.

Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admission to trading

E.1 Public offering or admission to trading

The white paper concerns the admission to trading (i. e. ATTR) on any Crypto Asset Service Providers platform that has obtained the written consent of Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person drafting this white paper.

E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading

As already stated in A.13, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims to provide central services to draw up crypto-asset white papers in accordance to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2024/2984. These services are offered in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset drawn up from different Crypto Asset Service Providers. As of now, such a scenario seems highly likely as a Crypto Asset Service Provider who drew up a crypto-asset white paper and admitted the respective token in the Union has no incentive to give his written consent to another Crypto Asset Service Provider according to Article 5 (4 b) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 to use the white paper for his regulatory obligations, as this would 1. strenghthen the market-positioning of the other Crypto Asset Service Provider (who is most likely a competitor) and 2. also entail liability risks.

E.3 Fundraising target

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.



E.4 Minimum subscription goals

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.5 Maximum subscription goals

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.6 Oversubscription acceptance

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.7 Oversubscription allocation

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.8 Issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.10 Subscription fee

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.11 Offer price determination method

Once the token is admitted to trading its price will be determined by demand (buyers) and supply (sellers).

E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets

The crypto-asset project itself refers to a fixed supply of 1,000,000,000 units

(https://docs.caldera.foundation/pages/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-10). Investors

should note that changes in the token supply can have a negative impact.

The effective amount of tokens available on the market depends on the number of

tokens released by the issuer or other parties at any given time, as well as potential

reductions through token "burning." As a result, the circulating supply may differ from

the total supply.

E.13 Targeted holders

ALL

E.14 Holder restrictions

The Holder restrictions are subject to the rules applicable to the Crypto Asset Service

Provider as well as additional restrictions the Crypto Asset Service Providers might set in

force.

E.15 Reimbursement notice

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.16 Refund mechanism

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.17 Refund timeline

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.18 Offer phases

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: FBJ51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

26



E.19 Early purchase discount

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.20 Time-limited offer

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.21 Subscription period beginning

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.22 Subscription period end

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase

The payment methods are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.26 Right of withdrawal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.



E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets

The transfer of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.28 Transfer time schedule

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements

The technical requirements that the purchaser is required to fulfil to hold the crypto-assets of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name

Not applicable.

E.31 CASP identifier

Not applicable.

E.32 Placement form

Not applicable.

E.33 Trading platforms name

The trading on all MiCAR-compliant trading platforms is sought.

E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)

Not applicable.

E.35 Trading platforms access

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset.

E.36 Involved costs

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset. Furthermore, costs may occur for

making transfers out of the platform (i. e. "gas costs" for blockchain network use that

may exceed the value of the crypto-asset itself).

E.37 Offer expenses

Not applicable, as this crypto-asset white paper concerns the admission to trading and

not the offer of the token to the public.

E.38 Conflicts of interest

MiCAR-compliant Crypto Asset Service Providers shall have strong measurements in

place in order to manage conflicts of interests. Due to the broad audience this white-

paper is adressing, potential investors should always check the conflicts of Interest

policy of their respective counterparty.

E.39 Applicable law

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.

E.40 Competent court

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.

Part F – Information about the crypto-assets

F.1 Crypto-asset type

The crypto-asset described in the white paper is classified as a crypto-asset under the

Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCAR) but does not qualify as an electronic

money token (EMT) or an asset-referenced token (ART). It is a digital representation of

value that can be stored and transferred using distributed ledger technology (DLT) or

similar technology, without embodying or conferring any rights to its holder.

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

29



The asset does not aim to maintain a stable value by referencing an official currency, a basket of assets, or any other underlying rights. Instead, its valuation is entirely market-driven, based on supply and demand dynamics, and not supported by a stabilization mechanism. It is neither pegged to any fiat currency nor backed by any external assets, distinguishing it clearly from EMTs and ARTs.

Furthermore, the crypto-asset is not categorized as a financial instrument, deposit, insurance product, pension product, or any other regulated financial product under EU law. It does not grant financial rights, voting rights, or any contractual claims to its holders, ensuring that it remains outside the scope of regulatory frameworks applicable to traditional financial instruments.

F.2 Crypto-asset functionality

According to publicly available information, the ERA token functions as a token within the broader Caldera ecosystem. Its purpose is to enable participation in and interaction with the network's infrastructure rather than to represent ownership rights, profit participation, or any claim against a legal entity. Within the Caldera framework, ERA may be used to pay transaction fees and operational costs on rollups deployed through the network, to participate in staking mechanisms that support network security and validator operations, and to take part in governance processes concerning protocol parameters, upgrades, or resource allocation within the ecosystem. In addition, the token may serve as an incentive mechanism for users, developers, or partners contributing to the maintenance or expansion of Caldera-based applications, such as liquidity provision or deployment of rollups. The token's use is therefore limited to network-related activities and decentralized governance participation. No rights to dividends, redemption, or equity ownership are attached to ERA. As the existence of a formal legal issuer cannot be independently verified, the described functionalities must be understood as part of the decentralized Caldera protocol and its associated ecosystem rather than as obligations or guarantees of a specific issuing entity.

F.3 Planned application of functionalities

See D.8.

A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as

specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article

F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper

The white paper type is "other crypto-assets" (i. e. "OTHR").

F.5 The type of submission

The white paper submission type is "NEWT", which stands for new token.

F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics

The tokens are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain blockchain. The tokens are fungible (up to 18 digits after the decimal point). The tokens are a digital representation of value, and have no

inherent rights attached as well as no intrinsic utility.

F.7 Commercial name or trading name

See F.13.

F.8 Website of the issuer

https://caldera.xyz/

F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading

2025-11-10

F.10 Publication date

2025-11-10

F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer

It is not possible to exclude a possibility that the issuer of the token provides or will provide other services not covered by Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 (i.e. MiCAR).



F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper

ΕN

F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available

F4TC42BTF, 7KRNK7QGT

F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available

FBI51GPW2

F.15 Voluntary data flag

Mandatory.

F.16 Personal data flag

The white paper does contain personal data.

F.17 LEI eligibility

The issuer should be eligible for a Legal Entity Identifier.

F.18 Home Member State

Germany

F.19 Host Member States

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden

Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets

G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations

There are no rights or obligations attached for/of the purchaser.



G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no procedures and conditions for the exercise of these rights applicable.

G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no conditions under which the rights and obligations may be modified applicable. An adjustment of the technical infrastructure necessary to exercise the promised governance rights, declining functionality due to dilution, changing rights within the voting platforms, and all other adverse effects for investors may occur at any time.

G.4 Future public offers

Information on the future offers to the public of crypto-assets were not available at the time of writing this white paper (2025-10-08).

G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets

According to publicly available information (https://docs.caldera.foundation/pages/preclaims-guide, accessed 2025-10-10), the ERA token distribution within the Caldera ecosystem is structured across several indicative allocation categories, reflecting the intended functional and governance design of the project.

Based on project documentation and external sources, the total supply of ERA tokens is capped at 100% (1 billion tokens), divided approximately as follows: 32.08% allocated to early backers and investors, 21.00% to the community treasury, 14.94% to the foundation, 14.75% to the core team, 10.24% to research and development initiatives, and 7.00% to community airdrops.

Certain allocations, particularly those associated with team, investor, and foundation holdings, are subject to vesting and lock-up mechanisms designed to ensure alignment with long-term project development. While such vesting schedules are referenced in public materials, detailed timelines and conditions have not been formally verified through independent documentation.

Based on the publicly available token allocation data, approximately 14.94 % of the total

ERA token supply is attributed to the Caldera Foundation, which may - in an extended

sense only - be considered comparable to an issuer-retained allocation.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain, on Ethereum:

https://etherscan.io/token/0xE2AD0BF751834f2fbdC62A41014f84d67cA1de2A#balance

S.

The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain, on BNB Smart Chain:

https://bscscan.com/token/0x00312400303d02c323295f6e8b7309bc30fb6bce#balance

S

The investor must be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a

single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or

future actions. Token distribution changes can negatively impact the investor.

G.6 Utility token classification

No

G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens

Not applicable.

G.8 Utility tokens redemption

Not applicable.

G.9 Non-trading request

The admission to trading is sought.

G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: FBJ51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

34

G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions

The crypto-assets as such do not have any transfer restrictions and are generally freely

transferable. The Crypto Asset Service Providers can impose their own restrictions in

agreements they enter with their clients. The Crypto Asset Service Providers may

impose restrictions to buyers and sellers in accordance with applicable laws and internal

policies and terms.

G.12 Supply adjustment protocols

No, there are no fixed protocols that can increase or decrease the supply implemented

as of 2025-10-06. Nevertheless, it is possible that the owner of the smart-contract has

the ability to increase or decrease the token-supply in response to changes in demand.

Also, it is possible to decrease the circulating supply, by transferring crypto-assets to so

called "burn-adresses", which are adresses that render the crypto-asset "non-

transferable" after sent to those adresses.

G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms

The mint authority (the entity who can create new tokens of that crypto-asset), has the

potential right to change the supply of the crypto-assets.

The crypto-asset project itself refers to a fixed supply of 1,000,000,000 units

(https://docs.caldera.foundation/pages/tokenomics, accessed 2025-10-03).

G.14 Token value protection schemes

No, the token does not have value protection schemes.

G.15 Token value protection schemes description

Not applicable.

G.16 Compensation schemes

No, the token does not have compensation schemes.

G.17 Compensation schemes description

Not applicable.

G.18 Applicable law

Applicable law likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

G.19 Competent court

Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

Part H – information on the underlying technology

H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)

See F.13.

H.2 Protocols and technical standards

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) is a Layer-1 blockchain that utilizes a Proof-of-Staked

Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism. This mechanism combines elements of Proof-

of-Authority (PoA) and Proof-of-Stake (PoS) and is intended to secure the network and

validate transactions. In PoSA, validators are selected based on their stake and

authority, with the goal of providing fast transaction times and low fees while

maintaining network security through staking.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset operates on a well-defined set of protocols and technical standards

that are intended to ensure its security, decentralization, and functionality. It is running

on the Ethereum blockchain. Below are some of the key ones:

FFG: FBJ51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

36

1. Network Protocols

The crypto-asset follows a decentralized, peer-to-peer (P2P) protocol where nodes

communicate over the crypto-asset's DevP2P protocol using RLPx for data encoding.

- Transactions and smart contract execution are secured through Proof-of-Stake (PoS)

consensus.

- Validators propose and attest blocks in Ethereum's Beacon Chain, finalized through

Casper FFG.

- The Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) executes smart contracts using Turing-complete

bytecode.

2. Transaction and Address Standards

crypto-asset Address Format: 20-byte addresses derived from Keccak-256 hashing of

public keys.

Transaction Types:

- Legacy Transactions (pre-EIP-1559)

- Type 0 (Pre-EIP-1559 transactions)

- Type 1 (EIP-2930: Access list transactions)

- Type 2 (EIP-1559: Dynamic fee transactions with base fee burning)

The Pectra upgrade introduces EIP-7702, a transformative improvement to account

abstraction. This allows externally owned accounts (EOAs) to temporarily act as smart

contract wallets during a transaction. It provides significant flexibility, enabling

functionality such as sponsored gas payments and batched operations without

changing the underlying account model permanently.

3. Blockchain Data Structure & Block Standards

- the crypto-asset's blockchain consists of accounts, smart contracts, and storage states,

37

maintained through Merkle Patricia Trees for efficient verification.

Each block contains:

- Block Header: Parent hash, state root, transactions root, receipts root, timestamp, gas

limit, gas used, proposer signature.

- Transactions: Smart contract executions and token transfers.

- Block Size: No fixed limit; constrained by the gas limit per block (variable over time). In

line with Ethereum's scalability roadmap, Pectra includes EIP-7691, which increases the

maximum number of "blobs" (data chunks introduced with EIP-4844) per block. This

change significantly boosts the data availability layer used by rollups, supporting

cheaper and more efficient Layer 2 scalability.

4. Upgrade & Improvement Standards

Ethereum follows the Ethereum Improvement Proposal (EIP) process for upgrades.

H.3 Technology used

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

1. BSC-Compatible Wallets

Tokens on BSC are supported by wallets compatible with the Ethereum Virtual Machine

(EVM), such as MetaMask. These wallets can be configured to connect to the BSC

network and are designed to interact with BSC using standard Web3 interfaces.

2. Ledger

BSC maintains its own decentralized ledger for recording token transactions. This ledger

is intended to ensure transparency and security, providing a verifiable record of all

activities on the network.

3. BEP-20 Token Standard

FFG: FB|51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

BSC supports tokens implemented under the BEP-20 standard, which is tailored for the

BSC ecosystem. This standard is designed to facilitate the creation and management of

tokens on the network.

4. Scalability and Transaction Efficiency

BSC is designed to handle high volumes of transactions with low fees. It leverages its

PoSA consensus mechanism to achieve fast transaction times and efficient network

performance, making it suitable for applications requiring high throughput.

The following applies to Ethereum:

Decentralized Ledger: The Ethereum blockchain acts as a decentralized ledger for all

token transactions, with the intention to preserving an unalterable record of token

transfers and ownership to ensure both transparency and security.

2. Private Key Management: To safeguard their token holdings, users must securely

store their wallet's private keys and recovery phrases.

3. Cryptographic Integrity: Ethereum employs elliptic curve cryptography to validate and

execute transactions securely, intended to ensure the integrity of all transfers. The

Keccak-256 (SHA-3 variant) Hashing Algorithm is used for hashing and address

generation. The crypto-asset uses ECDSA with secp256k1 curve for key generation and

digital signatures. Next to that, BLS (Boneh-Lynn-Shacham) signatures are used for

validator aggregation in PoS.

H.4 Consensus mechanism

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

FFG: FBJ51GPW2 - 2025-10-13



Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (socalled "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator, an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate their BNB tokens to validators. This delegation helps validators increase their stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Delegators earn a share of the rewards that validators receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essentially potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the validator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, thus maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus Process 4. Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staked and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of nodes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing blocks in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that blocks are generated quickly and efficiently. Validators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast these blocks to the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around 3 seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient PoSA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of BNB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. This staked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes

validators to act in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8.

Delegation and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in

validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the

network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which

provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and

performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB,

making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their

rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The

Merge in 2022, replaces mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32

ETH every block a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once

proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot

and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization

occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) using Casper-FFG. The Beacon Chain

coordinates validators, while the fork-choice rule (LMD-GHOST) ensures the chain

follows the heaviest accumulated validator votes. Validators earn rewards for proposing

and verifying blocks, but face slashing for malicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to

improve energy efficiency, security, and scalability, with future upgrades like Proto-

Danksharding enhancing transaction efficiency.

H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

41

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:



Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism to ensure network security and incentivize participation from validators and delegators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This incentivizes token holders to participate in the network's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: Pool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintaining network resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior or failure to perform their duties. Penalties include slashing a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of the network. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly to avoid losing their staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Fees: Low Fees: BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain networks. These fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can vary based on network congestion and the complexity of the transactions. However, BSC ensures that fees remain significantly lower than those on the Ethereum mainnet. 6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validators: Validators earn block rewards in addition to transaction fees. These rewards are distributed to validators for their role in maintaining the network and processing transactions. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs: BSC supports cross-chain compatibility, allowing assets to be transferred between Binance Chain and Binance Smart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

fees, facilitating seamless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart

Contract Fees: Deployment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart

contracts on BSC involves paying fees based on the computational resources required.

These fees are also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging

developers to build on the BSC platform.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's PoS system secures transactions through validator incentives and

economic penalties. Validators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing

blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in

newly issued ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a

base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to

validators. Validators face slashing if they act maliciously and incur penalties for

inactivity. This system aims to increase security by aligning incentives while making the

crypto-asset's fee structure more predictable and deflationary during high network

activity.

H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology

No, DLT is neither operated by the issuer nor a third party acting on the issuer's behalf.

H.7 DLT functionality description

Not applicable.

H.8 Audit

As we are understanding the question relating to "technology" to be interpreted in a

broad sense, the answer answer to whether an audit of "the technology used" was

conducted is "no, we can not guarantee, that all parts of the technology used have been

audited". This is due to the fact this report focusses on risk, and we can not guarantee

that each part of the technology used was audited.

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

H.9 Audit outcome

Not applicable.

Part I - Information on risks

I.1 Offer-related risks

1. Regulatory and Compliance

This white paper has been prepared with utmost caution; however, uncertainties in the regulatory requirements and future changes in regulatory frameworks could potentially impact the token's legal status and its tradability. There is also a high probability that other laws will come into force, changing the rules for the trading of the token. Therefore, such developments shall be monitored and acted upon accordingly.

2. Operational and Technical

Blockchain Dependency: The token is entirely dependent on the blockchain the crypto-asset is issued upon. Any issues, such as downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities within the blockchain, could adversely affect the token's functionality.

Smart Contract Risks: Smart contracts governing the token may contain hidden vulnerabilities or bugs that could disrupt the token offering or distribution processes.

Connection Dependency: As the trading of the token also involves other trading venues, technical risks such as downtime of the connection or faulty code are also possible.

Human errors: Due to the irrevocability of blockchain-transactions, approving wrong transactions or using incorrect networks/addresses will most likely result in funds not being accessibly anymore.

Custodial risk: When admitting the token to trading, the risk of losing clients assets due to hacks or other malicious acts is given. This is due to the fact the token is hold in custodial wallets for the customers.

3. Market and Liquidity

Volatility: The token will most likely be subject to high volatility and market speculation.

Price fluctuations could be significant, posing a risk of substantial losses to holders.

Liquidity Risk: Liquidity is contingent upon trading activity levels on decentralized

exchanges (DEXs) and potentially on centralized exchanges (CEXs), should they be

involved. Low trading volumes may restrict the buying and selling capabilities of the

tokens.

4. Counterparty

As the admission to trading involves the connection to other trading venues,

counterparty risks arise. These include, but are not limited to, the following risks:

General Trading Platform Risk: The risk of trading platforms not operating to the highest

standards is given. Examples like FTX show that especially in nascent industries,

compliance and oversight-frameworks might not be fully established and/or enforced.

Listing or Delisting Risks: The listing or delisting of the token is subject to the trading

partners internal processes. Delisting of the token at the connected trading partners

could harm or completely halt the ability to trade the token.

5. Liquidity

Liquidity of the token can vary, especially when trading activity is limited. This could

result in high slippage when trading a token.

6. Failure of one or more Counterparties

Another risk stems from the internal operational processes of the counterparties used.

As there is no specific oversight other than the typical due diligence check, it cannot be

guaranteed that all counterparties adhere to the best market standards.

Bankruptcy Risk: Counterparties could go bankrupt, possibly resulting in a total loss for

the clients assets hold at that counterparty.

7. Information asymmetry

Different groups of participants may not have the same access to technical details or

governance information, leading to uneven decision-making and potential

disadvantages for less informed investors.

I.2 Issuer-related risks

1. Insolvency

As with every other commercial endeavor, the risk of insolvency of the issuer is given.

This could be caused by but is not limited to lack of interest from the public, lack of

funding, incapacitation of key developers and project members, force majeure (including

pandemics and wars) or lack of commercial success or prospects.

2. Counterparty

In order to operate, the issuer has most likely engaged in different business

relationships with one or more third parties on which it strongly depends on. Loss or

changes in the leadership or key partners of the issuer and/or the respective

counterparties can lead to disruptions, loss of trust, or project failure. This could result

in a total loss of economic value for the crypto-asset holders.

3. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based technologies are subject to evolving regulatory

landscapes worldwide. Regulations vary across jurisdictions and may be subject to

significant changes. Non-compliance can result in investigations, enforcement actions,

penalties, fines, sanctions, or the prohibition of the trading of the crypto-asset impacting

its viability and market acceptance. This could also result in the issuer to be subject to

private litigation. The beforementioned would most likely also lead to changes with

respect to trading of the crypto-asset that may negatively impact the value, legality, or

functionality of the crypto-asset.

4. Operational

Failure to develop or maintain effective internal control, or any difficulties encountered

in the implementation of such controls, or their improvement could harm the issuer's

business, causing disruptions, financial losses, or reputational damage.

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

5. Industry

The issuer is and will be subject to all of the risks and uncertainties associated with a

crypto-project, where the token issued has zero intrinsic value. History has shown that

most of this projects resulted in financial losses for the investors and were only set-up

to enrich a few insiders with the money from retail investors.

6. Reputational

The issuer faces the risk of negative publicity, whether due to, without limitation,

operational failures, security breaches, or association with illicit activities, which can

damage the issuer reputation and, by extension, the value and acceptance of the

crypto-asset.

7. Competition

There are numerous other crypto-asset projects in the same realm, which could have an

effect on the crypto-asset in question.

8. Unanticipated Risk

In addition to the risks included in this section, there might be other risks that cannot be

foreseen. Additional risks may also materialize as unanticipated variations or

combinations of the risks discussed.

I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks

1. Valuation

As the crypto-asset does not have any intrinsic value, and grants neither rights nor

obligations, the only mechanism to determine the price is supply and demand.

Historically, most crypto-assets have dramatically lost value and were not a beneficial

investment for the investors. Therefore, investing in these crypto-assets poses a high

risk, and the loss of funds can occur.

2. Market Volatility

Crypto-asset prices are highly susceptible to dramatic fluctuations influence by various

factors, including market sentiment, regulatory changes, technological advancements,

and macroeconomic conditions. These fluctuations can result in significant financial

losses within short periods, making the market highly unpredictable and challenging for

investors. This is especially true for crypto-assets without any intrinsic value, and

investors should be prepared to lose the complete amount of money invested in the

respective crypto-assets.

3. Liquidity Challenges

Some crypto-assets suffer from limited liquidity, which can present difficulties when

executing large trades without significantly impacting market prices. This lack of liquidity

can lead to substantial financial losses, particularly during periods of rapid market

movements, when selling assets may become challenging or require accepting

unfavorable prices.

4. Asset Security

Crypto-assets face unique security threats, including the risk of theft from exchanges or

digital wallets, loss of private keys, and potential failures of custodial services. Since

crypto transactions are generally irreversible, a security breach or mismanagement can

result in the permanent loss of assets, emphasizing the importance of strong security

measures and practices.

5. Scams

The irrevocability of transactions executed using blockchain infrastructure, as well as the

pseudonymous nature of blockchain ecosystems, attracts scammers. Therefore,

investors in crypto-assets must proceed with a high degree of caution when investing in

if they invest in crypto-assets. Typical scams include – but are not limited to – the

creation of fake crypto-assets with the same name, phishing on social networks or by

email, fake giveaways/airdrops, identity theft, among others.

6. Blockchain Dependency

Any issues with the blockchain used, such as network downtime, congestion, or security

vulnerabilities, could disrupt the transfer, trading, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

7. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13



The smart contract used to issue the crypto-asset could include bugs, coding errors, or vulnerabilities which could be exploited by malicious actors, potentially leading to asset loss, unauthorized data access, or unintended operational consequences.

8. Privacy Concerns

All transactions on the blockchain are permanently recorded and publicly accessible, which can potentially expose user activities. Although addresses are pseudonoymous, the transparent and immutable nature of blockchain allows for advanced forensic analysis and intelligence gathering. This level of transparency can make it possible to link blockchain addresses to real-world identities over time, compromising user privacy.

9. Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory environment surrounding crypto-assets is constantly evolving, which can directly impact their usage, valuation, and legal status. Changes in regulatory frameworks may introduce new requirements related to consumer protection, taxation, and anti-money laundering compliance, creating uncertainty and potential challenges for investors and businesses operating in the crypto space. Although the crypto-asset do not create or confer any contractual or other obligations on any party, certain regulators may nevertheless qualify the crypto-asset as a security or other financial instrument under their applicable law, which in turn would have drastic consequences for the crypto-asset, including the potential loss of the invested capital in the asset. Furthermore, this could lead to the sellers and its affiliates, directors, and officers being obliged to pay fines, including federal civil and criminal penalties, or make the cryptoasset illegal or impossible to use, buy, or sell in certain jurisdictions. On top of that, regulators could take action against the issuer as well as the trading platforms if the the regulators view the token as an unregistered offering of securities or the operations otherwise as a violation of existing law. Any of these outcomes would negatively affect the value and/or functionality of the crypot-asset and/or could cause a complete loss of funds of the invested money in the crypto-asset for the investor.

10. Counterparty risk

Engaging in agreements or storing crypto-assets on exchanges introduces counterparty

risks, including the failure of the other party to fulfill their obligations. Investors may face

potential losses due to factors such as insolvency, regulatory non-compliance, or

fraudulent activities by counterparties, highlighting the need for careful due diligence

when engaging with third parties.

11. Reputational concerns

Crypto-assets are often subject to reputational risks stemming from associations with

illegal activities, high-profile security breaches, and technological failures. Such incidents

can undermine trust in the broader ecosystem, negatively affecting investor confidence

and market value, thereby hindering widespread adoption and acceptance.

12. Technological Innovation

New technologies or platforms could render the network's design less competitive or

even break fundamental parts (i.e., quantum computing might break cryptographic

algorithms used to secure the network), impacting adoption and value. Participants

should approach the crypto-asset with a clear understanding of its speculative and

volatile nature and be prepared to accept these risks and bear potential losses, which

could include the complete loss of the asset's value.

13. Community and Narrative

As the crypto-asset has no intrinsic value, all trading activity is based on the intended

market value is heavily dependent on its community.

14. Interest Rate Change

Historically, changes in interest, foreign exchange rates, and increases in volatility have

increased credit and market risks and may also affect the value of the crypto-asset.

Although historic data does not predict the future, potential investors should be aware

that general movements in local and other factors may affect the market, and this could

also affect market sentiment and, therefore most likely also the price of the crypto-

asset.

15. Taxation

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13

The taxation regime that applies to the trading of the crypto-asset by individual holders

or legal entities will depend on the holder's jurisdiction. It is the holder's sole

responsibility to comply with all applicable tax laws, including, but not limited to, the

reporting and payment of income tax, wealth tax, or similar taxes arising in connection

with the appreciation and depreciation of the crypto-asset.

16. Anti-Money Laundering/Counter-Terrorism Financing

It cannot be ruled out that crypto-asset wallet addresses interacting with the crypto-

asset have been, or will be used for money laundering or terrorist financing purposes,

or are identified with a person known to have committed such offenses.

17. Market Abuse

It is noteworthy that crypto-assets are potentially prone to increased market abuse

risks, as the underlying infrastructure could be used to exploit arbitrage opportunities

through schemes such as front-running, spoofing, pump-and-dump, and fraud across

different systems, platforms, or geographic locations. This is especially true for crypto-

assets with a low market capitalization and few trading venues, and potential investors

should be aware that this could lead to a total loss of the funds invested in the crypto-

asset.

18. Timeline and Milestones

Critical project milestones could be delayed by technical, operational, or market

challenges.

19. Legal ownership: Depending on jurisdiction, token holders may not have

enforceable legal rights over their holdings, limiting avenues for recourse in disputes or

cases of fraud.

20. Jurisdictional blocking: Access to exchanges, wallets, or interfaces may be restricted

based on user location or regulatory measures, even if the token remains transferable

on-chain.

21. Token concentration: A large proportion of tokens held by a few actors could allow

price manipulation, governance dominance, or sudden sell-offs impacting market

stability.

22. Ecosystem incentive misalignment: If validator, developer, or user rewards become

unattractive or distorted, network security and participation could decline.

23. Governance deadlock: Poorly structured or fragmented governance processes may

prevent timely decisions, creating delays or strategic paralysis.

24. Compliance misalignment: Features or delivery mechanisms may unintentionally

conflict with evolving regulations, particularly regarding consumer protection or data

privacy.

I.4 Project implementation-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

implementation risk is referring to the risks on the Crypto Asset Service Providers side.

These can be, but are not limited to, typical project management risks, such as key-

personal-risks, timeline-risks, and technical implementation-risks.

I.5 Technology-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

technology-related risks mainly involve the DLT networks where the crypto asset is

issued in.

1. Blockchain Dependency Risks

Network Downtime: Potential outages or congestion on the involved blockchains could

interrupt on-chain token transfers, trading, and other functions.

2. Smart Contract Risks

Vulnerabilities: The smart contract governing the token could contain bugs or

vulnerabilities that may be exploited, affecting token distribution or vesting schedules.

3. Wallet and Storage Risks



Private Key Management: Token holders must securely manage their private keys and recovery phrases to prevent permanent loss of access to their tokens, which includes Trading-Venues, who are a prominent target for dedicated hacks.

Compatibility Issues: The tokens require compatible wallets for storage and transfer. Any incompatibility or technical issues with these wallets could impact token accessibility.

4. Network Security Risks

Attack Risks: The blockchains may face threats such as denial-of-service (DoS) attacks or exploits targeting its consensus mechanism, which could compromise network integrity.

Centralization Concerns: Although claiming to be decentralized, the relatively smaller number of validators/concentration of stakes within the networks compared to other blockchains might pose centralization risks, potentially affecting network resilience.

5. Evolving Technology Risks: Technological Obsolescence: The fast pace of innovation in blockchain technology may make the used token standard appear less competitive or become outdated, potentially impacting the usability or adoption of the token.

6. Bridges: The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack. In that case, the supply may change immediately or the ownership rights to tokens may be changed.

7. Forking risk: Network upgrades may split the blockchain into separate versions, potentially creating duplicate tokens or incompatibility between different versions of the protocol.

8. Economic abstraction: Mechanisms such as gas relayers or wrapped tokens may allow users to bypass the native asset, reducing its direct demand and weakening its economic role.

9. Dust and spam attacks: Low-value transactions may flood the network, increasing ledger size, reducing efficiency, and exposing user addresses to tracking.

10. Frontend dependency: If users rely on centralised web interfaces or wallets, service

outages or compromises could block access even if the blockchain itself continues to

operate.

I.6 Mitigation measures

None.

Part J - Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to

adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related

adverse impacts

J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

S.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

S.3 Name of the cryptoasset

Caldera

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked

Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and

Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while

maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (so-



called "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator, an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate their BNB tokens to validators. This delegation helps validators increase their stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Delegators earn a share of the rewards that validators receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essentially potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the validator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, thus maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus Process 4. Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staked and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of nodes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing blocks in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that blocks are generated quickly and efficiently. Validators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast these blocks to the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around 3 seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient PoSA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of BNB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. This staked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes validators to act in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8. Delegation and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which

provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and

performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB,

making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their

rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The

Merge in 2022, replaces mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32

ETH every block a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once

proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot

and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization

occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) using Casper-FFG. The Beacon Chain

coordinates validators, while the fork-choice rule (LMD-GHOST) ensures the chain

follows the heaviest accumulated validator votes. Validators earn rewards for proposing

and verifying blocks, but face slashing for malicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to

improve energy efficiency, security, and scalability, with future upgrades like Proto-

Danksharding enhancing transaction efficiency.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain and Ethereum. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus

mechanism to ensure network security and incentivize participation from validators and

delegators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake

a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards

FFG: FBI51GPW2 - 2025-10-13



in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This incentivizes token holders to participate in the network's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: Pool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintaining network resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior or failure to perform their duties. Penalties include slashing a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of the network. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly to avoid losing their staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Fees: Low Fees: BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain networks. These fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can vary based on network congestion and the complexity of the transactions. However, BSC ensures that fees remain significantly lower than those on the Ethereum mainnet. 6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validators: Validators earn block rewards in addition to transaction fees. These rewards are distributed to validators for their role in maintaining the network and processing transactions. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs: BSC supports cross-chain compatibility, allowing assets to be transferred between Binance Chain and Binance Smart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal fees, facilitating seamless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart Contract Fees: Deployment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC involves paying fees based on the computational resources required.

These fees are also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging

developers to build on the BSC platform.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset's PoS system secures transactions through validator incentives and

economic penalties. Validators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing

blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in

newly issued ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a

base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to

validators. Validators face slashing if they act maliciously and incur penalties for

inactivity. This system aims to increase security by aligning incentives while making the

crypto-asset's fee structure more predictable and deflationary during high network

activity.

S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates

2024-10-07

S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates

2025-10-07

S.8 Energy consumption

100.04335 kWh/a

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components: To

determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network

Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the

token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token,

which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When

calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token

Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in



scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.10 Renewable energy consumption

32.2255486008 %

S.11 Energy intensity

0.00005 kWh

S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions - Controlled

0.00000 tCO2e/a

S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased

0.03329 tCO2e/a

S.14 GHG intensity

0.00002 kgCO2e

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute,



"Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original datal. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

