White paper drafted under the European Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 for FFG 7PQ1242P3



# **Preamble**

# 00. Table of Contents

01. Date of notification11
02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of egular ۾ (EU) 2023/111411
03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114
04. Statement in accordance with Asicle 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/111411 05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/111411
06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU)
Sum vary 12
07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU)
08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset12
09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability13
10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading13
Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading13
A.1 Name
A.2 Legal form13
A.3 Registered address14
A.4 Head office14
A.5 Registration date14

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

2



	A.6 Legal entity identifier	.14
	A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	.14
	A.8 Contact telephone number	.14
	A.9 E-mail address	.14
	A.10 Response time (Days)	.14
	A.11 Parent company	.14
	A.12 Members of the management boly	.14
	A.13 Business activity	.15
	A.14 Parent company usines activity	.15
	A.15 Newly establishe	.15
	A.16 cia. sond tion for the past three years	.15
	A 7 Financi condition since registration	.16
Ρ	eart B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person seek	king
а	dmission to trading	.16
	B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading	.16
	B.2 Name	.16
	B.3 Legal form	.16
	B.4. Registered address	.16
	B.5 Head office	.16
	B.6 Registration date	.16
	B.7 Legal entity identifier	.16
	B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	.16
	B.9 Parent company	.17
	B.10 Members of the management body	.17
	B.11 Business activity	.17



B.12 Parent company business activity	17
Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in c	ases where it draws
up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other po	ersons drawing the
crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparag	
	17
	17
C.2 Legal form	18
C.3 Registered address	18
C.4 Head office	18
C.5 Registration of e	18
C.6 Legal entity identifier	18
C/ Anothe ide. Ler required pursuant to applicable national law	<i>.</i> 18
C.a Parent Company	18
C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation	18
C.10 Members of the Management body	18
C.11 Operator business activity	18
C.12 Parent company business activity	18
C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper acco	ording to Article 6(1),
second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	19
C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in	n Article 6(1), second
subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	19
Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project	19
D.1 Crypto-asset project name	19
D.2 Crypto-assets name	19
D 3 Abbreviation	19



D.4 Crypto-asset project description	19
D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the	crypto-
asset project	20
D.6 Utility Token Classification	20
D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility oken cojects	20
D.8 Plans for the token	21
D.9 Resource allocation	21
D.10 Planned use of Collecte funds as crypto-Assets	22
Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admi	
trading	22
E.1 Public offering Chadmission to trading	22
E. Reasons for public offer or admission to trading	22
E.3 undra sing target	23
E.4 Minimum subscription goals	23
E.5 Maximum subscription goals	23
E.6 Oversubscription acceptance	23
E.7 Oversubscription allocation	23
E.8 Issue price	23
E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price	23
E.10 Subscription fee	23
E.11 Offer price determination method	24
E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets	24
E.13 Targeted holders	24
E.14 Holder restrictions	24
E.15 Reimbursement notice	24



E.16 Refund mechanism	24
E.17 Refund timeline	24
E.18 Offer phases	25
E.19 Early purchase discount	25
E.20 Time-limited offer	25
E.21 Subscription period beginning	25
E.22 Subscription period end	25
E.23 Safeguarding arrangement/ for Sifered funds/crypto- Assets	25
E.24 Payment mythod for crito-asset purchase	25
E.25 Value transfer my thods for reimbursement	25
E.26 as of withdrawal	26
E 7 Transfe of purchased crypto-assets	26
E.28 Transfer time schedule	26
E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements	26
E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name	26
E.31 CASP identifier	26
E.32 Placement form	26
E.33 Trading platforms name	26
E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)	26
E.35 Trading platforms access	26
E.36 Involved costs	27
E.37 Offer expenses	27
E.38 Conflicts of interest	27
E.39 Applicable law	27



E.40 Compe	tent court	27
Part F – Inform	nation about the crypto-assets	27
F.1 Crypto-a	sset type	27
F.2 Crypto-a	sset functionality	28
F.3 Planned	application of functionalities	28
A descriptio	n of the characteristics of the cryoto asset, including the da	ata necessary
	ition of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred	
109 of Regu	lation (EU) 2023//114 specified in accordance with parag	raph 8 of that
Article		29
F.4 Type of c	crypto sset white paper	29
F.5 The type	of sul mission	29
F. Crypto	sse. aracteristics	29
F.) Comme	ial name or trading name	29
F.8 Website	of the issuer	29
F.9 Starting (	date of offer to the public or admission to trading	29
F.10 Publicat	tion date	29
F.11 Any oth	er services provided by the issuer	29
F.12 Langua	ge or languages of the crypto-asset white paper	30
F.13 Digital t	coken identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-ass	set or each of
the several c	crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available	le30
F.14 Functio	nally fungible group digital token identifier, where available	30
F.15 Volunta	ry data flag	30
F.16 Persona	al data flag	30
F.17 LEI eligi	bility	30
F.18 Home N	Member State	30



F.19 Host Member States	30
Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets	30
G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations	30
G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations	31
G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and abligations	31
G.4 Future public offers	31
G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets	31
G.6 Utility token classific tion	32
G.7 Key features of golds/seil ses of utility tokens	32
G.8 Utility token reac artion	
G.9.15.1. sading reguest	32
G 10 Crypto assets purchase or sale modalities	33
G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions	33
G.12 Supply adjustment protocols	33
G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms	33
G.14 Token value protection schemes	34
G.15 Token value protection schemes description	34
G.16 Compensation schemes	34
G.17 Compensation schemes description	34
G.18 Applicable law	34
G.19 Competent court	34
Part H – information on the underlying technology	34
H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)	34
H.2 Protocols and technical standards	34



H.3 Technology used	36
H.4 Consensus mechanism	38
H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees	40
H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology	
H.7 DLT functionality description	42
H.8 Audit	
H.9 Audit outcome	42
Part I – Information on risk	42
I.1 Offer-related risks	42
I.2 Issuer-related risk	44
I.3 Copulass its-related risks	46
I. Project in plementation-related risks	
I.5 Technology-related risks	51
I.6 Mitigation measures	53
Part J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact or	n the
climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	53
J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	53
S.1 Name	53
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	53
S.3 Name of the cryptoasset	53
S.4 Consensus Mechanism	53
S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees	55
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	57
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	57
S.8 Energy consumption	57



S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies	57
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	58
S.11 Energy intensity	58
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	58
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	58
S.14 GHG intensity	58
S.15 Key energy sources and method: logies	58
S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies	59



#### 01. Date of notification

2025-08-22

# 02. Statement in accordance with AP cle 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper has not been approved by any competent authority in any Member State of the European Union. The person seeking admission to trading of the crypto-asset is solely responsible for the content of this crypto-asset white paper.

# 03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (E 1) 20.27/1114

This type case while paper complies with Title II of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parament and of the Council and, to the best of the knowledge of the management body, the information presented in the crypto-asset white paper is fair, clear and not misleading and the crypto-asset white paper makes no omission likely to affect its import.

# 04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this crypto-asset white paper may lose its value in part or in full, may not always be transferable and may not be liquid.

# 05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Since the token has multiple functions (hybrid token), these are already conceptually not utility tokens within the meaning of the MiCAR within the definition of Article 3, 1. (9), due to the necessity "exclusively" being intended to provide access to a good or a service supplied by its issuer only.



# 06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this white paper is not covered by the investor compensation schemes under Directive 97/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council or the deposit guarantee schemes under Council 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

### **Summary**

# 07. Warning in accurance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, ( Rigulation (EU) 2023/1114

Warning: This summary Should be read as an introduction to the crypto-asset white paper the crospective holder should base any decision to purchase this crypto-asset on the content of the crypto-asset white paper as a whole and not on the summary alone. The offer to the public of this crypto-asset does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase financial instruments and any such offer or solicitation can be made only by means of a prospectus or other offer documents pursuant to the applicable national law. This crypto-asset white paper does not constitute a prospectus as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council or any other offer document pursuant to union or national law.

# 08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset

The TREE tokens referred to in this white paper are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, and are issued on the Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain network (2025-08-20 and according to DTI FFG shown in F.14).

The initial production of the (total) 1,000,000,000 tokens (the so-called "mint") took place on Ethereum at 2025-06-18 6:48:35 (see transaction: https://etherscan.io/tx/0xd3cef551990360947422792f5bfa90a9d70e87cdaea27705ff7d d2d7ce157612)

The first activity on BNB Smart Chain can be detected on 2025-07-18 16:42:33 (see

transaction:

https://bscscan.com/tx/0xd64af3fcf3fb51a0272272acb03195f60ad44a3db34b62a3dce

8cce7dbf849c5).

09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or

services to which the utility the signe access and restrictions

on the transferability

Not applicable.

10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to

trading

Crypt Risk Metrics CmbH is seeking admission to trading on any Crypto Asset Service

Producer platform in the European Union in accordance to Article 5 of REGULATION (EU)

2023 THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on

markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No

1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937. In accordance to Article

5(4), this crypto-asset white paper may be used by entities admitting the token to

trading after Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person responsible for drawing up such

white paper has given its consent to its use in writing to the repective Crypto Asset

Service Provider. If a CASP wishes to use this white paper, inquiries can be made under

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com.

Part A - Information about the offeror or the person seeking

admission to trading

A.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

A.2 Legal form

2HBR



#### A.3 Registered address

DE, Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

#### A.4 Head office

Not applicable.

#### A.5 Registration date

2018-12-09

#### A.6 Legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE248

# A.7 Another identificated rursuant to applicable national law

Crypto Risk Metrics SmbH is registered with the commercial register in the the city of Hamburg, a rmany under number HRB 154488.

#### A.8 ntact te phone number

+4915144974120

#### A.9 E-mail address

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com

#### A.10 Response time (Days)

030

#### A.11 Parent company

Not applicable.

#### A.12 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Address
Tim Zölitz	Chairman	Lange Reihe 73, 20099
		Hamburg, Germany

A.13 Business activity

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is a technical service provider, who supports regulated

entities in the fulfillment of their regulatory requirements. In this regard, Crypto Risk

Metrics GmbH acts as a data-provider for ESG-data according to article 66 (5). Due to

the regulations laid out in article 5 (4) of the PLULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 hay 2023 on markets in crypto-

assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No Jo 372010 and (EU) No 1095/2010 and

Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2017, 937, to Risk Metrics GmbH aims at providing

central services for crypto-asse when papers in order to minimize market confusion

due to conflicting white part same asset. rs for the

A.14 Parent company Jusi

Not applicable.

A.15 Newly e. ablished

trics GmbH has been etablished since 2018 and is therefore not newly

established (i. e. older than three years).

A.16 Financial condition for the past three years

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH's profit after tax for the last three financial years are as

follows:

2024 (unaudited): negative 50.891,81 EUR

2023 (unaudited): negative 27.665,32 EUR

2022: 104.283,00 EUR.

As 2023 and 2024 were the years building Software for the MiCAR-Regulation which was

not yet in place, revenue streams from these investments are expeted to be generated

in 2025.

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

15



#### A.17 Financial condition since registration

This point would only be applicable if the company were newly established and the financial conditions for the past three years had not been provided in the bulletpoint before.

# Part B – Information about the issues, if enferent from the offeror or person seeking admission to try ding

#### B.1 Issuer different from offeror operson seeking admission to trading

Yes

#### **B.2 Name**

Treehouse Labs Lineted

# B.3 / gal for

6EH

#### **B.4.** Registered address

VG-TT, Road Town, Tortola, Wattley Building, 2nd Floor, 160 Main Street, PO Box 3410

#### **B.5 Head office**

VG-TT, Road Town, Tortola, Wattley Building, 2nd Floor, 160 Main Street, PO Box 3410

### **B.6 Registration date**

2021-10-01

#### **B.7 Legal entity identifier**

Not applicable.

#### B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Not applicable.



### **B.9 Parent company**

Treehouse Foundation

### **B.10** Members of the management body



#### B.11 Busaness activity

Treehouse Labs Limited acting as the issuer of the crypto-asset reffered to in this white paper.

### **B.12 Parent company business activity**

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-21).

Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

#### C.1 Name

Not applicable.



# C.2 Legal form Not applicable. **C.3 Registered address** Not applicable. **C.4 Head office** Not applicable. **C.5** Registration date Not applicable. C.6 Legal entity iden Not applicable. ntiner required pursuant to applicable national law nother it Not a plicable **C.8 Parent company** Not applicable. C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation Not applicable. C.10 Members of the Management body Not applicable. **C.11 Operator business activity** Not applicable. C.12 Parent company business activity Not applicable.

C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1),

second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by percent referred to in Article 6(1), second

subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

Part D – Information about the crypto-asset project

D.1 Crypto-asset project name

Long Name: Treeh se, Short Name: TREE according to the Digital Token Identifier

Foundation (www. if.or PTI see F.13, FFG DTI see F.14 as of 2025-08-20).

D.2 Cypto-a rets are

See 13.

**D.3 Abbreviation** 

See F.13.

**D.4 Crypto-asset project description** 

Treehouse is a decentralized protocol intended to create a fixed income layer within the

digital asset ecosystem. The protocol aims to provide yield-bearing instruments and

transparent benchmark rates derived through consensus mechanisms. These rates are

designed to serve as references for pricing and settlement of interest rate-sensitive

products. The TREE token is intended to function within this ecosystem as a governance

and instrument, allowing holders to participate in protocol decision-making, to pay for

data access, and, in defined cases, to support the rate-setting process through staking.

The development and effectiveness of these functionalities remain subject to continued

adoption, technical reliability, and market acceptance, all of which involve significant

uncertainties and risks.



# D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the cryptoasset project

Name	Function	Business address
Maria Elena Mata Donado De Toral	Director	PA-8, City of Panama, Torre Advanced Building, 1st floor, Ricardo Arias Street
Veronica Camano	Director	PA-8, City of Panama, Torre Advanced Building, 1st floor, Ricardo Arias Street
Tree louse Lans Land	Issuer	VG-TT, Road Town, Tortola, Wattley Building, 2nd Floor, 160 Main Street, PO Box 3410
Treehouse Foundation	Parent of Issuer	Not available
Gaia Labs Corp	Unclear	PA-8, City of Panama, Torre Advanced Building, 1st floor, Ricardo Arias Street

# **D.6 Utility Token Classification**

The token does not classify as a utility token.

# **D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects**

Not applicable.



#### D.8 Plans for the token

In the past, the TREE token has been introduced with the intention to serve as the governance and instrument of the Treehouse protocol, accompanying the deployment of core functionalities such as staking-based participation in the Decentralized Offered Rates mechanism and initial community-relater activities. These measures have primarily served to establish the token within the protocol framework and to enable its technical integration into early ecosystem components.

Looking forward, the TREE token is expected to play a role in the planned expansion of protocol functionalities, including the broader use of decentralized benchmark rates and the intended integration of protocol assets into additional decentralized finance platforms. Further are, the taken is anticipated to remain central to governance processes and maj concere to be used in connection with future product integrations or econotem developments. It must be emphasized that the realization of these initiatives depends on external adoption, technical reliability, and market conditions, and there are repains subject to considerable uncertainty.

#### **D.9 Resource allocation**

According to the official documentation (https://docs.treehouse.finance/protocol/tree-token/token-details/tokenomics, accessed on 2025-08-21), the allocation of TREE tokens is distributed across several categories. The largest share, approximately 20%, is assigned to community rewards, intended to incentivize ongoing engagement and protocol participation. Around 17.5% is allocated to strategic investors, while approximately 12.5% each is directed to the project treasury and to the team. A further 10% is designated for ecosystem funds, with another 10% reserved for an initial community airdrop. Smaller allocations include 5.75% for future airdrops, 5% for core contributors, 3.75% for exchange partnerships, and 3% for liquidity provisioning. Note that this information cannot be independently verified and is subject to change.

The effective circulating supply may vary over time, as vesting schedules, release mechanisms, and project-specific adjustments may influence token availability.



The temporary token distribution can be traced on-chain, on Ethereum: https://etherscan.io/token/0x77146784315ba81904d654466968e3a7c196d1f3#balanc es and on BNB Smart Chain: https://bscscan.com/token/0x771467-84315ba81904d654466968e3a7c196d1f3#balances.

The investor must be aware that a public address, annot necessarily be assigned to a single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or future actions. Token distribution change can negatively impact the investor.

#### D.10 Planned use of Collected fundar crysto-Assets

Not applicable, as this who paper was drawn up for the admission to trading and not for collecting funds for the cryp -asset-project.

# Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their at mission to trading

# E.1 Place offering or admission to trading

The white paper concerns the admission to trading (i. e. ATTR) on any Crypto Asset Service Providers platform that has obtained the written consent of Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person drafting this white paper.

#### E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading

As already stated in A.13, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims to provide central services to draw up crypto-asset white papers in accordance to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2024/2984. These services are offered in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset drawn up from different Crypto Asset Service Providers. As of now, such a scenario seems highly likely as a Crypto Asset Service Provider who drew up a crypto-asset white paper and admitted the respective token in the Union has no incentive to give his written consent to another Crypto Asset Service Provider according to Article 5 (4 b) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 to use the white paper for his regulatory obligations, as this would 1.

strenghthen the market-positioning of the other Crypto Asset Service Provider (who is

most likely a competitor) and 2. also entail liability risks.

**E.3 Fundraising target** 

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to stoport admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

**E.4 Minimum subscription goals** 

Not applicable, as this white paper is witten support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.5 Maximum subscriptio goal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.6 versubsc ption acceptance

Not a licable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.7 Oversubscription allocation

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.8 Issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.10 Subscription fee

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.11 Offer price determination method

Once the token is admitted to trading its price will be determined by demand (buyers)

and supply (sellers).

E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets

A total amount of 1,000,000,000 tokens has been sitially minted (see transaction:

https://etherscan.io/tx/0xd3cef551990360/4732235bfa90a9d70e87cdaea27705ff7d

d2d7ce157612, accessed 2025-08-12 The own rship or mint authority for the token

can not independently be verificantly be possible that the supply is still subject to

arbitrary change which can negatively mact the investors at any time.

E.13 Targeted holdes

ALL

E.14 older stri tio

The Holder restrictions are subject to the rules applicable to the Crypto Asset Service

Provider as well as additional restrictions the Crypto Asset Service Providers might set in

force.

**E.15** Reimbursement notice

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.16 Refund mechanism

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

**E.17** Refund timeline

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

24



#### E.18 Offer phases

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.19 Early purchase discount

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to upport admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### **E.20 Time-limited offer**

Not applicable, as this white parer 3 witten to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

### E.21 Subscription period beganning

Not applicable as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the citial offs to see public.

#### E.22 hscript on period end

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase

The payment methods are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

#### E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

E.26 Right of withdrawal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets

The transfer of purchased crypto-assets are suffect to the respective capabilities of the

Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto sse

**E.28 Transfer time schedule** 

Not applicable, as this white parer witten to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the puble.

E.29 Purchaser's technical remembers

The technical equirements that the purchaser is required to fulfil to hold the crypto-

asses of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the

Cryllo Asset Prvice Provider listing the crypto-asset.

E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name

Not applicable.

**E.31 CASP identifier** 

Not applicable.

**E.32 Placement form** 

Not applicable.

E.33 Trading platforms name

The trading on all MiCAR-compliant trading platforms is sought.

E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)

Not applicable.

**E.35 Trading platforms access** 

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset.



#### **E.36 Involved costs**

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset. Furthermore, costs may occur for making transfers out of the platform (i. e. "gas costs" for blockchain network use that may exceed the value of the crypto-asset itself).

#### E.37 Offer expenses

Not applicable, as this crypto-asset white caps comerns the admission to trading and not the offer of the token to the publicable.

#### **E.38 Conflicts of interest**

MiCAR-compliant Crypto as at Stacke Providers shall have strong measurements in place in order to nating conflicts of interests. Due to the broad audience this white-paper is addressing potential investors should always check the conflicts of Interest policy of their respect to counterparty.

#### E.3 Applicable aw

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the admission to trading is sought.

#### **E.40 Competent court**

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the admission to trading is sought.

#### Part F – Information about the crypto-assets

#### F.1 Crypto-asset type

The crypto-asset described in the white paper is classified as a crypto-asset under the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCAR) but does not qualify as an electronic money token (EMT) or an asset-referenced token (ART). It is a digital representation of value that can be stored and transferred using distributed ledger technology (DLT) or similar technology, without embodying or conferring any rights to its holder.

The asset does not aim to maintain a stable value by referencing an official currency, a

basket of assets, or any other underlying rights. Instead, its valuation is entirely market-

driven, based on supply and demand dynamics, and not supported by a stabilization

mechanism. It is neither pegged to any fiat currency nor backed by any external assets,

distinguishing it clearly from EMTs and ARTs.

Furthermore, the crypto-asset is not categorized as a financial instrument, deposit,

insurance product, pension product, or any one regulated financial product under EU

law. It does not grant financial rights, vol., rights, or any contractual claims to its

holders, ensuring that it remains out the scope of regulatory frameworks applicable

to traditional financial instratent

F.2 Crypto-asset fun analysis

According to the documentation (https://docs.treehouse.finance/protocol/tree-

toke token leta the kenomics, accessed 2025-08-21), the TREE token is described as

the overnand and token of the Treehouse protocol. Its intended purpose is to allow

holders participate in protocol governance, including decision-making processes

affecting protocol parameters and design. In addition, TREE tokens are designed to be

used for paying query fees when accessing decentralized offered rates (DOR) data, and

in certain cases as a staking requirement for participants contributing to the rate-setting

process.

Beyond these intended functions, no binding commitments regarding profit

participation, redemption rights, or guaranteed returns have been disclosed. At present,

the observable functionality of the TREE token is limited and its potential role in

governance and fee payment, provided that the relevant mechanisms are implemented

and maintained. The token does not confer legal ownership rights, enforceable claims

against the issuer, or legally guaranteed utility. Its actual use and value therefore remain

dependent on adoption of the protocol and the effective operation of its systems, which

are subject to technical, regulatory, and market-related uncertainties.

F.3 Planned application of functionalities

See D.8.



A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article

#### F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper

The white paper type is "other crypto-assets" (i. e "OTHE")

#### F.5 The type of submission

The white paper submission type is NEW which stands for new token.

# F.6 Crypto-asset characterime

The tokens are crycle-as at other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the Ethereum and BNs Smar Chain blockchain. The tokens are fungible (up to 18 digits after the action), and a total of 1,000,000,000 have already been issued. The tokens are a digital representation of value, and have no inherent rights attached as well as not trips outility.

#### F.7 Commercial name or trading name

See F.13.

#### F.8 Website of the issuer

https://www.treehouse.finance/

#### F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading

2025-09-19

#### F.10 Publication date

2025-09-19

#### F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer

It is not possible to exclude a possibility that the issuer of the token provides or will provide other services not covered by Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 (i.e. MiCAR).



#### F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper

ΕN

F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper releas, where available

FWVGR1J7J; C0WC4KNGX

F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token julentifier, where available

7PQ1242P3

F.15 Voluntary data flag

Mandatory.

#### F.16 Personal data

The write poer loes contain personal data.

#### F.17 El eligibilly

The issuer should be eligible for a Legal Entity Identifier.

#### **F.18 Home Member State**

Germany

#### **F.19 Host Member States**

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden

# Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets

#### **G.1** Purchaser rights and obligations

There are no rights or obligations attached for/of the purchaser.



#### **G.2** Exercise of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no procedures and conditions for the exercise of these rights applicable.

#### G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no conditions under which the rights and obligations may be modified applied to. An adjustment of the technical infrastructure necessary to exercise to promised governance rights, declining functionality due to dilution, charging habs within the voting platforms, and all other adverse effects for investors may occupat any time.

#### G.4 Future public o

Information on the future offers to the public of crypto-assets were not available at the time of writing his white paper (2025-08-15).

#### G.5 suer retalled crypto-assets

"According to the official documentation (https://docs.treehouse.finance/protocol/tree-token/token-details/tokenomics, accessed on 2025-08-21), the allocation of TREE tokens is distributed across several categories. The largest share, approximately 20%, is assigned to community rewards, intended to incentivize ongoing engagement and protocol participation. Around 17.5% is allocated to strategic investors, while approximately 12.5% each is directed to the project treasury and to the team. A further 10% is designated for ecosystem funds, with another 10% reserved for an initial community airdrop. Smaller allocations include 5.75% for future airdrops, 5% for core contributors, 3.75% for exchange partnerships, and 3% for liquidity provisioning. Note that this information cannot be independently verified and is subject to change.

The effective circulating supply may vary over time, as vesting schedules, release mechanisms, and project-specific adjustments may influence token availability.

A portion of the TREE token supply can be directly attributed to the issuer, as approximately 15% of the total supply is retained in the protocol treasury under the control of Treehouse Labs Limited. In addition, further allocations such as those

designated for the team, core contributors, the ecosystem fund, liquidity provisioning,

and exchange partnerships represent indirect forms of issuer-related control. While

these categories are not formally held by the issuer itself, their deployment and

governance remain closely linked to the project's internal structures. As a result, a

significant share of the total supply can be considered to fall under the influence of the

issuer or its affiliated entities. The precise extent of this of luence is, however, difficult to

determine, particularly with respect to the decion-making power of strategic investors

and ecosystem-related allocations. To see Pation of tokens within project-related

spheres may therefore create tail egarding future market dynamics and the

actual degree of decentral ation.

The temporary distribution can be traced on-chain, on Ethereum:

https://etherscan.o/ton.o/0x77146784315ba81904d654466968e3a7c196d1f3#balanc

es and on NB Shart Chain: https://bscscan.com/token/0x77146784315ba8190-

4d6 446696 3a7c196d1f3#balances.

The inestor flust be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a

single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or

future actions. Token distribution changes can negatively impact the investor."

G.6 Utility token classification

No

G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens

Not applicable.

**G.8 Utility tokens redemption** 

Not applicable.

**G.9 Non-trading request** 

The admission to trading is sought.



#### **G.10** Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### **G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions**

The crypto-assets as such do not have any transfer restrictions and are generally freely transferable. The Crypto Asset Service Providers are impose their own restrictions in agreements they enter with their stems. The Crypto Asset Service Providers may impose restrictions to buyers and allers a accordance with applicable laws and internal policies and terms.

#### G.12 Supply adjustment potoco

No, there are no need pastocols that can increase or decrease the supply implemented as of 2025-08-10. Neartheless, it is possible that the owner of the smart-contract has the folility to increase or decrease the token-supply in response to changes in demand. Also, his possible to decrease the circulating supply, by transferring crypto-assets to so called "burn-adresses", which are adresses that render the crypto-asset "non-transferable" after sent to those adresses.

#### **G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms**

The mint authority (the entity who can create new tokens of that crypto-asset), as defined in the smart contract, has the potential right to change the supply of the cryptoasset. According the official documentation to (https://docs.treehouse.finance/protocol/tree-token/token-details/tokenomics, accessed 2025-08-21), the total supply of TREE tokens is capped at 1,000,000,000. This figure is also reflected third-party on major sources, such as CoinMarketCap (https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/treehouse/, 2025-08-21) accessed blockchain explorers (https://etherscan.io/token/0x77146784315ba81904d654466968e3a7c196d1f3#balances, accessed 2025-08-21).

Investors should note that changes in the token supply can have a significant negative impact.



#### **G.14 Token value protection schemes**

No, the token does not have value protection schemes.

#### **G.15** Token value protection schemes description

Not applicable.

#### **G.16 Compensation schemes**

No, the token does not have compensation one les.

#### G.17 Compensation schemes des pron

Not applicable.

#### G.18 Applicable law

Applicable law like, depends on the location of any particular transaction with the toker

### G.19 ompete t court

Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the token.

# Part H - information on the underlying technology

#### H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)

See F.13.

#### **H.2** Protocols and technical standards

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:

The crypto-asset operates on a well-defined set of protocols and technical standards

that are intended to ensure its security, decentralization, and functionality. It is running

on the Ethereum blockchain. Below are some of the key ones:

1. Network Protocols

The crypto-asset follows a decentralize , presto-peer (P2P) protocol where nodes

communicate over the crypto-asset every tocol using RLPx for data encoding.

- Transactions and smart contract of cution are secured through Proof-of-Stake (PoS)

consensus.

- Validators proposition that blocks in Ethereum's Beacon Chain, finalized through

Casper FFG.

- The Ethere in Wal Machine (EVM) executes smart contracts using Turing-complete

byte ode.

2. Transaction and Address Standards

crypto-asset Address Format: 20-byte addresses derived from Keccak-256 hashing of

public keys.

Transaction Types:

- Legacy Transactions (pre-EIP-1559)

- Type 0 (Pre-EIP-1559 transactions)

- Type 1 (EIP-2930: Access list transactions)

- Type 2 (EIP-1559: Dynamic fee transactions with base fee burning)

The Pectra upgrade introduces EIP-7702, a transformative improvement to account

abstraction. This allows externally owned accounts (EOAs) to temporarily act as smart

contract wallets during a transaction. It provides significant flexibility, enabling

functionality such as sponsored gas payments and batched operations without

35

changing the underlying account model permanently.

3. Blockchain Data Structure & Block Standards

- the crypto-asset's blockchain consists of accounts, smart contracts, and storage states,

maintained through Merkle Patricia Trees for efficient verification.

Each block contains:

- Block Header: Parent hash, state root, transactions rick, receipts root, timestamp, gas

limit, gas used, proposer signature.

- Transactions: Smart contract executions and token transfers.

- Block Size: No fixed limit contracted by the gas limit per block (variable over time). In

line with Ethereum's scarbility roaumap, Pectra includes EIP-7691, which increases the

maximum number. "blue" data chunks introduced with EIP-4844) per block. This

change significantly boosts the data availability layer used by rollups, supporting

chear and muse efficient Layer 2 scalability.

4. Ugrade & hprovement Standards

Ethereum follows the Ethereum Improvement Proposal (EIP) process for upgrades.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) is a Layer-1 blockchain that utilizes a Proof-of-Staked

Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism. This mechanism combines elements of Proof-

of-Authority (PoA) and Proof-of-Stake (PoS) and is intended to secure the network and

validate transactions. In PoSA, validators are selected based on their stake and

authority, with the goal of providing fast transaction times and low fees while

maintaining network security through staking.

H.3 Technology used

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

36

The following applies to Ethereum:

1. Decentralized Ledger: The Ethereum blockchain acts as a decentralized ledger for all

token transactions, with the intention to preserving an unalterable record of token

transfers and ownership to ensure both transparency and security.

2. Private Key Management: To safeguard the token holdings, users must securely

store their wallet's private keys and recover their wallet's private keys and recover the cover the covert

3. Cryptographic Integrity: Ethereum implicit ethoric curve cryptography to validate and

execute transactions securely, he ded ensure the integrity of all transfers. The

Keccak-256 (SHA-3 varian Haming Algorithm is used for hashing and address

generation. The crypto-sset ups ECDSA with secp256k1 curve for key generation and

digital signatures, Next to that, BLS (Boneh-Lynn-Shacham) signatures are used for

validator aggregation in PoS.

The forwing applies to BNB Smart Chain:

1. BSC-Compatible Wallets

Tokens on BSC are supported by wallets compatible with the Ethereum Virtual Machine

(EVM), such as MetaMask. These wallets can be configured to connect to the BSC

network and are designed to interact with BSC using standard Web3 interfaces.

2. Ledger

BSC maintains its own decentralized ledger for recording token transactions. This ledger

is intended to ensure transparency and security, providing a verifiable record of all

activities on the network.

3. BEP-20 Token Standard

BSC supports tokens implemented under the BEP-20 standard, which is tailored for the

BSC ecosystem. This standard is designed to facilitate the creation and management of

tokens on the network.

4. Scalability and Transaction Efficiency

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

37

BSC is designed to handle high volumes of transactions with low fees. It leverages its

PoSA consensus mechanism to achieve fast transaction times and efficient network

performance, making it suitable for applications requiring high throughput.

H.4 Consensus mechanism

The crypto asset that is the subject of this water poer is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: Ethereum and Barris Short Chain. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of kensis decreases different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effect, can be adverse for investors.

The following application where I here I have a second and the second application and the second and the second

The crypto-asset's Proof -Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The

Mergania 22, polates mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32

ETH every black a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once

proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot

and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization

occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) using Casper-FFG. The Beacon Chain

coordinates validators, while the fork-choice rule (LMD-GHOST) ensures the chain

follows the heaviest accumulated validator votes. Validators earn rewards for proposing

and verifying blocks, but face slashing for malicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to

improve energy efficiency, security, and scalability, with future upgrades like Proto-

Danksharding enhancing transaction efficiency.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked

Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and

Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while

maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (so-

called "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks,

38



validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator, an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate heir BNB tokens to validators. This delegation helps validators increase their stak and poroves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Delegators carry a Share of the rewards that validators receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates are nodes that have staked the conired ount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essectial potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the valuator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring the is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, the maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus alia for Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staled and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of nodes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing blocks in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that blocks are generated quickly and efficiently. Validators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast these blocks to the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around 3 seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient PoSA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of BNB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. This staked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes validators to act in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8. Delegation and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and

performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB,

making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their

rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees

The crypto asset that is the subject of this water poer is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: Ethereum and Barris Plain. In general, when evaluating

crypto assets, the total number of kensis decreases different networks must always

be taken into account, as spillover effect, can be adverse for investors.

The following appli hereum

The crypto-asset's of the secures transactions through validator incentives and

economic enames. Malidators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing

blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in

newly suggest ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a

base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to

validators. Validators face slashing if they act maliciously and incur penalties for

inactivity. This system aims to increase security by aligning incentives while making the

crypto-asset's fee structure more predictable and deflationary during high network

activity.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus

mechanism to ensure network security and incentivize participation from validators and

delegators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake

a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards

in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are

selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators.

The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to

40



validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This incentivizes token holders to participate in the nework's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: ool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the requirer and unto BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that sere always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintain, net ok resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: alicous behavior or failure to perform their duties. Validators can be penalized for Penalties include slashing a polyion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of he ork. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly ng Leir staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Low Fee BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain ese fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can vary based on network congestion and the complexity of the transactions. However, BSC ensures that fees remain significantly lower than those on the Ethereum mainnet. 6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validators: Validators earn block rewards in addition to transaction fees. These rewards are distributed to validators for their role in maintaining the network and processing transactions. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs: BSC supports cross-chain compatibility, allowing assets to be transferred between Binance Chain and Binance Smart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal fees, facilitating seamless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart Contract Fees: Deployment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC involves paying fees based on the computational resources required. These fees are also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging developers to build on the BSC platform.



# H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology

No, DLT not operated by the issuer, offeror, a person seeking admission to trading or a third-party acting on the issuer's their behalf.

### H.7 DLT functionality description

Not applicable.

#### **H.8 Audit**

As we are understanding the question relating to "technology" to be interpreted in a broad sense, the answer anster of whether an audit of "the technology used" was conducted is "no, we can obtain see, that all parts of the technology used have been audited". This is due to the fact this report focusses on risk, and we can not guarantee that each part of the technology used was audited.

#### H.9 / dit ou con.

Not oplicable

# Part I - Information on risks

#### I.1 Offer-related risks

# 1. Regulatory and Compliance

This white paper (drawn up from 2025-08-16) has been prepared with utmost caution; however, uncertainties in the regulatory requirements and future changes in regulatory frameworks could potentially impact the token's legal status and its tradability. There is also a high probability that other laws will come into force, changing the rules for the trading of the token. Therefore, such developments shall be monitored and acted upon accordingly.

### 2. Operational and Technical

Blockchain Dependency: The token is entirely dependent on the blockchain the crypto-asset is issued upon (as of 2025-08-16). Any issues, such as downtime, congestion, or

security vulnerabilities within the blockchain, could adversely affect the token's

functionality.

Smart Contract Risks: Smart contracts governing the token may contain hidden

vulnerabilities or bugs that could disrupt the token offering or distribution processes.

Connection Dependency: As the trading of the taken also involves other trading venues,

technical risks such as downtime of the cornection or aulty code are also possible.

Human errors: Due to the irrevocatity thickchain-transactions, approving wrong

transactions or using incorrect recorks dresses will most likely result in funds not

being accessibly anymore.

Custodial risk: Whe dritting he token to trading, the risk of losing clients assets due

to hacks or other mall acts is given. This is due to the fact the token is hold in

custodial walls for the customers.

3. Narket and iquidity

Volatility, me token will most likely be subject to high volatility and market speculation.

Price fluctuations could be significant, posing a risk of substantial losses to holders.

Liquidity Risk: Liquidity is contingent upon trading activity levels on decentralized

exchanges (DEXs) and potentially on centralized exchanges (CEXs), should they be

involved. Low trading volumes may restrict the buying and selling capabilities of the

tokens.

4. Counterparty

As the admission to trading involves the connection to other trading venues,

counterparty risks arise. These include, but are not limited to, the following risks:

General Trading Platform Risk: The risk of trading platforms not operating to the highest

standards is given. Examples like FTX show that especially in nascent industries,

compliance and oversight-frameworks might not be fully established and/or enforced.

Listing or Delisting Risks: The listing or delisting of the token is subject to the trading

partners internal processes. Delisting of the token at the connected trading partners

could harm or completely halt the ability to trade the token.

5. Liquidity

Liquidity of the token can vary, especially when tracing activity is limited. This could

result in high slippage when trading a toker

6. Failure of one or more Counterpa

Another risk stems from the internal perational processes of the counterparties used.

As there is no specific over than the typical due diligence check, it cannot be

guaranteed that all controllers adhere to the best market standards.

Bankruptcy Risk: Counterparties could go bankrupt, possibly resulting in a total loss for

the climes set hole at that counterparty.

7. Ir prmation symmetry

Different groups of participants may not have the same access to technical details or

governance information, leading to uneven decision-making and potential

disadvantages for less informed investors.

I.2 Issuer-related risks

1. Insolvency

As with every other commercial endeavor, the risk of insolvency of the issuer is given.

This could be caused by but is not limited to lack of interest from the public, lack of

funding, incapacitation of key developers and project members, force majeure (including

pandemics and wars) or lack of commercial success or prospects.

2. Counterparty

In order to operate, the issuer has most likely engaged in different business

relationships with one or more third parties on which it strongly depends on. Loss or

changes in the leadership or key partners of the issuer and/or the respective

counterparties can lead to disruptions, loss of trust, or project failure. This could result

in a total loss of economic value for the crypto-asset holders.

3. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based technologies are subject to evolving regulatory

landscapes worldwide. Regulations vary acrost jurisdictions and may be subject to

significant changes. Non-compliance can stigations, enforcement actions,

penalties, fines, sanctions, or the probability of the trading of the crypto-asset impacting

its viability and market acceptance. This sould also result in the issuer to be subject to

private litigation. The bearen private likely also lead to changes with

respect to trading of the cryp -asset that may negatively impact the value, legality, or

functionality of the total sset

4. Operational

Fail re to develop or maintain effective internal control, or any difficulties encountered

n the implementation of such controls, or their improvement could harm the issuer's

business, causing disruptions, financial losses, or reputational damage.

5. Industry

The issuer is and will be subject to all of the risks and uncertainties associated with a

crypto-project, where the token issued has zero intrinsic value. History has shown that

most of this projects resulted in financial losses for the investors and were only set-up

to enrich a few insiders with the money from retail investors.

6. Reputational

The issuer faces the risk of negative publicity, whether due to, without limitation,

operational failures, security breaches, or association with illicit activities, which can

damage the issuer reputation and, by extension, the value and acceptance of the

crypto-asset.

7. Competition

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

45

There are numerous other crypto-asset projects in the same realm, which could have an

effect on the crypto-asset in question.

8. Unanticipated Risk

In addition to the risks included in this section, the might be other risks that cannot be

foreseen. Additional risks may also materalize is unanticipated variations or

combinations of the risks discussed.

9. Provision of financial-like services

The project is presented as a Al- n en platform that automates and optimizes yield

strategies across decentrated filtre protocols. This functional positioning resembles

activities that, depocing on imsdiction, may fall under financial services, portfolio

management, or west ent advisory regimes. As a result, there is a risk that regulators

may classify train rivities of the platform or of entities associated with it as the

pro sion of regulated financial services.

Such bssiftation could trigger additional licensing, compliance, and reporting

requirements. Failure to meet these requirements may lead to restrictions, enforcement

measures, or even the prohibition of certain activities. Investors should be aware that

these regulatory risks are outside of their direct control and could materially affect both

the operation of the platform and the value or usability of the token.

I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks

1. Valuation

As the crypto-asset does not have any intrinsic value, and grants neither rights nor

obligations, the only mechanism to determine the price is supply and demand.

Historically, most crypto-assets have dramatically lost value and were not a beneficial

investment for the investors. Therefore, investing in these crypto-assets poses a high

risk, and the loss of funds can occur.

2. Market Volatility

FFG: 7PQ1242P3 - 2025-08-22

46

Crypto-asset prices are highly susceptible to dramatic fluctuations influence by various

factors, including market sentiment, regulatory changes, technological advancements,

and macroeconomic conditions. These fluctuations can result in significant financial

losses within short periods, making the market highly unpredictable and challenging for

investors. This is especially true for crypto-asses without any intrinsic value, and

investors should be prepared to lose the complete a pount of money invested in the

respective crypto-assets.

3. Liquidity Challenges

Some crypto-assets suffer from Mail liquidity, which can present difficulties when

executing large trades without significantly impacting market prices. This lack of liquidity

can lead to substantial paperal losses, particularly during periods of rapid market

movements, when sell assets may become challenging or require accepting

unfavo pries.

4. A set Secur

Crypto-assets face unique security threats, including the risk of theft from exchanges or

digital wallets, loss of private keys, and potential failures of custodial services. Since

crypto transactions are generally irreversible, a security breach or mismanagement can

result in the permanent loss of assets, emphasizing the importance of strong security

measures and practices.

5. Scams

The irrevocability of transactions executed using blockchain infrastructure, as well as the

pseudonymous nature of blockchain ecosystems, attracts scammers. Therefore,

investors in crypto-assets must proceed with a high degree of caution when investing in

if they invest in crypto-assets. Typical scams include – but are not limited to – the

creation of fake crypto-assets with the same name, phishing on social networks or by

email, fake giveaways/airdrops, identity theft, among others.

6. Blockchain Dependency



Any issues with the blockchain used, such as network downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities, could disrupt the transfer, trading, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

#### 7. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

The smart contract used to issue the crypto-asse could include bugs, coding errors, or vulnerabilities which could be exploited by mali lous actors, potentially leading to asset loss, unauthorized data access, or unintended appear in all consequences.

### 8. Privacy Concerns

All transactions on the blockchain are permanently recorded and publicly accessible, which can potentially exposure excivities. Although addresses are pseudonoymous, the transparent and invaluable nature of blockchain allows for advanced forensic analysis and intelligence eathering. This level of transparency can make it possible to link blockchain addresses a real-world identities over time, compromising user privacy.

# 9. Figulatory Incertainty

The regulatory environment surrounding crypto-assets is constantly evolving, which can directly impact their usage, valuation, and legal status. Changes in regulatory frameworks may introduce new requirements related to consumer protection, taxation, and anti-money laundering compliance, creating uncertainty and potential challenges for investors and businesses operating in the crypto space. Although the crypto-asset do not create or confer any contractual or other obligations on any party, certain regulators may nevertheless qualify the crypto-asset as a security or other financial instrument under their applicable law, which in turn would have drastic consequences for the crypto-asset, including the potential loss of the invested capital in the asset. Furthermore, this could lead to the sellers and its affiliates, directors, and officers being obliged to pay fines, including federal civil and criminal penalties, or make the crypto-asset illegal or impossible to use, buy, or sell in certain jurisdictions. On top of that, regulators could take action against the issuer as well as the trading platforms if the the regulators view the token as an unregistered offering of securities or the operations otherwise as a violation of existing law. Any of these outcomes would negatively affect

the value and/or functionality of the crypot-asset and/or could cause a complete loss of

funds of the invested money in the crypto-asset for the investor.

10. Counterparty risk

Engaging in agreements or storing crypto-assets exchanges introduces counterparty

risks, including the failure of the other party to fafill their obligations. Investors may face

potential losses due to factors such as regulatory non-compliance, or

fraudulent activities by counterparter havinghing the need for careful due diligence

when engaging with third parties,

11. Reputational concerns

Crypto-assets are the bject to reputational risks stemming from associations with

illegal activities, hip-proble security breaches, and technological failures. Such incidents

can undermine trust the broader ecosystem, negatively affecting investor confidence

and market value, mereby hindering widespread adoption and acceptance.

12. Tempological Innovation

New technologies or platforms could render the network's design less competitive or

even break fundamental parts (i.e., quantum computing might break cryptographic

algorithms used to secure the network), impacting adoption and value. Participants

should approach the crypto-asset with a clear understanding of its speculative and

volatile nature and be prepared to accept these risks and bear potential losses, which

could include the complete loss of the asset's value.

13. Community and Narrative

As the crypto-asset has no intrinsic value, all trading activity is based on the intended

market value is heavily dependent on its community.

14. Interest Rate Change

Historically, changes in interest, foreign exchange rates, and increases in volatility have

increased credit and market risks and may also affect the value of the crypto-asset.

Although historic data does not predict the future, potential investors should be aware

that general movements in local and other factors may affect the market, and this could

also affect market sentiment and, therefore most likely also the price of the crypto-

asset.

15. Taxation

The taxation regime that applies to the trading of the typto-asset by individual holders

or legal entities will depend on the botto's will diction. It is the holder's sole

responsibility to comply with all a ligation and limited to, the

reporting and payment of income tax, walth tax, or similar taxes arising in connection

with the appreciation and epreciation of the crypto-asset.

16. Anti-Money Launder g/Counter-Terrorism Financing

It cannot be rule out but crypto-asset wallet addresses interacting with the crypto-

asset have been or who be used for money laundering or terrorist financing purposes,

or a e identified with a person known to have committed such offenses.

17. Maket Muse

It is noteworthy that crypto-assets are potentially prone to increased market abuse

risks, as the underlying infrastructure could be used to exploit arbitrage opportunities

through schemes such as front-running, spoofing, pump-and-dump, and fraud across

different systems, platforms, or geographic locations. This is especially true for crypto-

assets with a low market capitalization and few trading venues, and potential investors

should be aware that this could lead to a total loss of the funds invested in the crypto-

asset.

18. Timeline and Milestones

Critical project milestones could be delayed by technical, operational, or market

challenges.

19. Legal ownership: Depending on jurisdiction, token holders may not have

enforceable legal rights over their holdings, limiting avenues for recourse in disputes or

cases of fraud.

20. Jurisdictional blocking: Access to exchanges, wallets, or interfaces may be restricted

based on user location or regulatory measures, even if the token remains transferable

on-chain.

21. Token concentration: A large proportion of tokens held by a few actors could allow

price manipulation, governance dominance, governance dominance, governance dominance, governance dominance, governance dominance dom

stability.

22. Ecosystem incentive misalignment of date, developer, or user rewards become

unattractive or distorted, network excurs and participation could decline.

23. Governance deadlock: porly true tured or fragmented governance processes may

prevent timely decisions creatily delays or strategic paralysis.

24. Compliance resalls ment: Features or delivery mechanisms may unintentionally

conflict with evolving regulations, particularly regarding consumer protection or data

priv cy.

I.4 Project implementation-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

implementation risk is referring to the risks on the Crypto Asset Service Providers side.

These can be, but are not limited to, typical project management risks, such as key-

personal-risks, timeline-risks, and technical implementation-risks.

I.5 Technology-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

technology-related risks mainly involve the DLT networks where the crypto asset is

issued in.

1. Blockchain Dependency Risks

Network Downtime: Potential outages or congestion on the involved blockchains could

interrupt on-chain token transfers, trading, and other functions.

2. Smart Contract Risks



Vulnerabilities: The smart contract governing the token could contain bugs or vulnerabilities that may be exploited, affecting token distribution or vesting schedules.

3. Wallet and Storage Risks

Private Key Management: Token holders must strurely manage their private keys and recovery phrases to prevent permanent loss of access to their tokens, which includes Trading-Venues, who are a prominent target as a blicked hacks.

Compatibility Issues: The tokens require compatibility or technical issues with the wallets could impact token accessibility.

4. Network Security Risks

Attack Risks: The bloom has pay face threats such as denial-of-service (DoS) attacks or exploits targeting its consensus mechanism, which could compromise network integrity.

Centralization Concerns: Although claiming to be decentralized, the relatively smaller number of validators/concentration of stakes within the networks compared to other blockchams might pose centralization risks, potentially affecting network resilience.

5. Evolving Technology Risks: Technological Obsolescence: The fast pace of innovation in blockchain technology may make the used token standard appear less competitive or become outdated, potentially impacting the usability or adoption of the token.

6. Bridges: The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack. In that case, the supply may change immediately or the ownership rights to tokens may be changed.

7. Forking risk: Network upgrades may split the blockchain into separate versions, potentially creating duplicate tokens or incompatibility between different versions of the protocol.

8. Economic abstraction: Mechanisms such as gas relayers or wrapped tokens may allow users to bypass the native asset, reducing its direct demand and weakening its economic role.



9. Dust and spam attacks: Low-value transactions may flood the network, increasing ledger size, reducing efficiency, and exposing user addresses to tracking.

10. Frontend dependency: If users rely on centralised web interfaces or wallets, service outages or compromises could block access even if the blockchain itself continues to operate.

### **I.6 Mitigation measures**

None.

Part J – Information on the custainability indicators in relation to adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

J.1 Advantage impacts or climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

S.1 ame

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE248

### S.3 Name of the cryptoasset

Treehouse

#### **S.4 Consensus Mechanism**

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:



The crypto-asset's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, introduced with The Merge in 2022, replaces mining with validator staking. Validators must stake at least 32 ETH every block a validator is randomly chosen to propose the next block. Once proposed the other validators verify the blocks integrity. The network operates on a slot and epoch system, where a new block is proposed every 12 seconds, and finalization occurs after two epochs (~12.8 minutes) sing \ sper-FFG. The Beacon Chain coordinates validators, while the fork-coordinates validators, while the fork-coordinates validators the chain follows the heaviest accumulated variation ofter Validators earn rewards for proposing ng for alicious behavior or inactivity. PoS aims to and verifying blocks, but face s d scalability, with future upgrades like Protoimprove energy efficiency secur y, Danksharding enhancing transation efficiency.

## The following applies of BNB Smart Chain:

ce Smar Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked Authority (SA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (socalled "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator, an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate their BNB tokens to validators. This delegation helps validators increase their stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Delegators earn a share of the rewards that validators receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essentially potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the validator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on



validation tasks, thus maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus Process 4. Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staked and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of podes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing old is in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that blocks are generated quickly and extent Valators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast the blocks the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around a seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient losA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economics nic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of byB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. caked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes valilators to at in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8. and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB, making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

#### **S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees**

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to Ethereum:



The crypto-asset's PoS system secures transactions through validator incentives and economic penalties. Validators stake at least 32 ETH and earn rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid ones, and participating in sync committees. Rewards are paid in newly issued ETH and transaction fees. Under EIP-1559, transaction fees consist of a base fee, which is burned to reduce supply, and an optional priority fee (tip) paid to validators. Validators face slashing if they are malifously and incur penalties for inactivity. This system aims to increase security by angning incentives while making the crypto-asset's fee structure more reduced to the and deflationary during high network activity.

# The following applie o B. R. Spart Chain

Binance Smart Cha (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus network security and incentivize participation from validators and ators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This incentivizes token holders to participate in the network's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: Pool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintaining network resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior or failure to perform their duties. Penalties include slashing a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of the network. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and



delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly to avoid losing their staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Fees: Low Fees: BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain networks. These fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can vary based on network congestion and the complex of the transactions. However, BSC ensures that fees remain significant low than those on the Ethereum mainnet. 6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validaria. alid tors earn block rewards in addition to Redistribled to validators for their role in maintaining transaction fees. These rewards the network and process g transactoris. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs: BSC supports cross-chain con patibility, allowing assets to be transferred between Binance Chain and Lance Mart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal fees, facilitating see pless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart aCT PE ment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on **B**C involves paying fees based on the computational resources required. re also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging developers to build on the BSC platform.

## S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates

2024-08-20

### S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates

2025-08-20

### S.8 Energy consumption

334.74544 kWh/a

#### S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components: To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network Ethereum and BNB Smart Chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token,



which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hadware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principal we take assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher as imate for the adverse impacts.

# S.10 Renewable energy competition

32.2703030303 %

# S.11 Energy intensity

0.00 J00 kWh

### S.12 Spe 1 LT GHG emissions – Controlled

0.00000 tCO2e/a

### S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions - Purchased

0.11293 tCO2e/a

# S.14 GHG intensity

0.00000 kgCO2e

### S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute -



Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity genewables.

# S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, to the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source cawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no the eograpic distribution of the nodes, reference networks information is available of about terms of their incentivization structure and are used which are q consensus mechan. is g 5-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see continuous. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. action: Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of ity gezeration - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of [original data]. Retrieved Energy" from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.



